Impact on P-12 Learning and Development (Component 4.1) Tennessee Value Added Assessment System, 2021 Report

		Elementary Ed	lucation – English	Language Arts		
	2015-2016	State Value	2016-2017	State Value	2017-2018	State Value
	N=8		N=0		N=0	
Level 1	0	102				
Level 2	1	163				
Level 3	3	593				
Level 4	3	138				
Level 5	1	86				
		Elementa	ry Education – Ma	thematics		
	2015-2016	State Value	2016-2017	State Value	2017-2018	State Value
	N=8		N=0		N=0	
Level 1	2	252				
Level 2	0	131				
Level 3	1	326				
Level 4	1	108				
Level 5	4	183				
		En	glish Education 6-	-12		
	2015-2016	State Value	2016-2017	State Value	2017-2018	State Value
	N=14		N=13		N=0	
Level 1	4	78	3	67		
Level 2	1	57	1	47		
Level 3	6	160	9	164		
Level 4	1	56	0	56		
Level 5	2	72	0	51		

			History 6-12			
	2015-2016	State Value	2017	State Value	2018	State Value
	N=5		N=2		N=0	
Level 1	1	22	0	21		
Level 2	0	8	1	4		
Level 3	2	27	1	27		
Level 4	1	12	0	10		
Level 5	1	28	0	17		
			Mathematics 6-12			
	2015-2016	State Value	2016-2017	State Value	2017-2018	State Value
	N=14		N=9		N=0	
Level 1	4	126	2	92		
Level 2	1	54	1	153		
Level 3	3	128	2	134		
Level 4	2	57	2	140		
Level 5	4	108	2	55		
			Sciences 6-12			
	2015-2016	State Value	2016-2017	State Value	2017-2018	State Value
	N=1		N=9		N=0	
Level 1	0	61	2	92		
Level 2	0	25	1	53		
Level 3	0	56	2	134		
Level 4	1	21	2	40		
Level 5	0	57	2	55		

Special Education: Comprehensive K-12
Data Not Available for this Endorsement Area
Special Education: Modified K-12
Data Not Available for this Endorsement Area

Impact on P-12 Learning and Development (Component 4.1) TN Department of Education, 2021 Annual Report

	Tennessee Value Added Assessment System Ratings							
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5			
2015-2016	0%	2.6%	34.2%	52.6%	10.5%			
N=38	(.3%)	(5.2%)	(39.8%)	(44.1%)	(10.6%)			
2016-2017	11.19%	5.6%	55.6%	5.6%	22.2%			
N=18	(26.07%)	(14.75%)	(36.18%)	(8.53%)	(14.47%)			
2017-2018	25.0%	8.3%	41.7%	8.3%	16.7%			
N=12	(26.99%)	(17.42%)	(37.23%)	(9.97%)	(8.38%)			
Three Year Values	23.1%	11.5%	42.3%	5.8%	17.3%			
N=52	(25.94%)	(14.62%)	(34.23%)	(10.10%)	(15.10%)			

Completer Effectiveness Data (Component 4.2)

		E	Llementary Educa	tion		
	2015-2016 N=26	State Value	2016-2017 N=16	State Value	2017-2018 N=13	State Value
Instruction	4.077	3.644	3.467	3.546	3.462	3.292
Environment	4.423	3.747	3.933	4.183	3.846	3.922
Planning	4.385	3.924	3.867	3.785	4.0	3.597
Level 1	0%	0.13%	0%	0.19%	0%	1.47%
Level 2	3.3%	5.94%	6.3%	7.28%	7.7%	14.14%
Level 3	13.3%	28.36%	18.8%	32.36%	15.4%	32.99%
Level 4	43.3%	44.13%	68.8%	39.02%	53.8%	36.67%
Level 5	40.0%	21.44%	6.3%	21.16%	23.1%	14.73%
			English Education 6			
	2015-2016 N=21	State Value	2016-2017 N=	State Value	2017-2018 N=	State Value
Instruction	4.190	3.747				
Environment	4.667	4.315				
Planning	4.524	3.966				
Level 1	0%	0.38%				
Level 2	0%	3.61%				
Level 3	26.1%	32.64%				
Level 4	73.9%	38.71%				
Level 5	0	24.67%				
		•	Mathematics 6-12	2	•	-
	2015-2016 N=12	State Value	2016-2017 N=10	State Value	2017-2018 N=	State Value
Instruction	3.455	3.670				
Environment	3.909	4.078				
Planning	3.545	3.614				
Level 1	0%	1.27%	0%	0%		
Level 2	16.7%	10.48%	40%	16.20%		
Level 3	50.0%	29.84%	0%	26.39%		
Level 4	33.3%	35.87%	0%	26.39%		
Level 5	0%	22.54%	40%	19.44%		
	•	Special Ed	ucation: Compre	hensive K-12		
	2015-2016	State Value	2016-2017	State Value	2017-2018	State Value

	N=12		N=10		N=	
Instruction						
Environment						
Planning						
Level 1	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Level 2	0%	3.70%	0%	11%		
Level 3	8.3%	21.76%	20%	32.06%		
Level 4	83.3%	49.07%	40%	44.02%		
Level 5	8.3%	25.46%	40%	12.92%		

No data are provided for Biology 6-12, History 6-12, SPED – Modified, SPED Early Childhood, or Music K-12

Tì	ree Year Values ((2015-2018)			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Biology 6-12	5.9%	0%	29.4%	35.3%	29.4%
N=17	(0.53%)	(8.58%)	(33.98%)	(38.18%)	(18.74%)
Elementary Education	0%	5.1%	15.3%	52.5%	27.1%
N=59	(0.35%)	(7.61%)	(30.43%)	(41.26%)	(20.36%)
English 6-12	0%	2.6%	31.6%	60.5%	5.3%
N=38	(0.36%)	(7.84%)	(35.2%)	(36.19%)	(20.41%)
History 6-12	0%	9.1%	45.5%	18.2%	27.3%
N=11	(0.90%)	(11.14%)	(34.64%)	(33.73%)	(19.58%)
Instrumental Music K-12		N	o Data Provided		
Mathematics K-12	0%	27.3%	36.4%	18.2%	18.2%
N=22	(0.81%)	(15.30%)	(33.49%)	(30.27%)	(20.13%)
Special Education – Comprehensive	0%	4.0%	12.0%	60%	24.0%
N=25	(0.0%)	(6.94%)	(27.94%)	(47.78%)	(17.34%)
Special Education – Modified	0%	7.1%	28.6%	50%	14.3%
N=14	(0.0%)	(6.11%)	(29.70%)	(45.96%)	(18.23%)
Special Education – Preschool/Prek-3	0%	0%	18.2%	45.5%	36.4%
N=11	(0.29%)	(5.00%)	(32.94%)	(40.59%)	(21.18%)

(State Value)

Satisfaction of Employers and Employment Milestones (Component 4.3, A.4.1) Educational Benchmark Inc.

Factor	2018 N=47 (71.2%) 1-7	2019 N=27 1-7 scale; 1	2020 N=35 (81.4%) 1-7
	scale; 1 is low 7 is high	is low 7 is high	scale; 1 is low 7 is high
Analytical skills	M=6.41	M=6.30	M=6.37
Critical Thinking Skills	M=6.38	M=6.37	M=6.49
Oral Communication Skills	M=6.51	M=6.44	M=6.32
Problem Solving Skills	M=6.40	M=6.44	M=6.29
Written Communication Skills	M=6.60	M=6.46	M=6.55
Commitment to Current Job	M=6.49	M=6.81	M=6.68
Professionalism	M=6.64	M=6.67	M=6.36
Work Ethic	M=6.74	M=6.70	M=6.54
Assessment Strategies	M=6.22	M=6.33	M=6.49
Build Collaborative Professional Relationships	M=6.23	M=6.30	M=6.09
Create a Productive Classroom Environment	M=6.11	M=6.27	M=6.26
Demonstrate Effective Classroom Instruction	M=6.30	M=6.41	M=6.40
Develop Effective Lesson Plans	M=6.33	M=6.26	M=6.32
Display Appropriate Professional Skills	M=6.51	M=6.56	M=6.29
Exhibit a Mastery of Relevant Content	M=6.49	M=6.44	M=6.71
Integrate Technology into the Teaching	M=6.30	M=6.35	M=6.64
Experience			
Reflect the Value of Diversity in Teaching	M=6.29	M=6.46	M=6.53
Satisfaction with Overall Performance of	M=6.36	M=6.52	M=6.57
Recent Graduates			
Recommend Hiring Graduates in the Future	M=6.57	M=6.78	M=6.59

Rate this teacher's academic preparation as compared to all other teachers from other	-Among the least	-Among the least	-Among the least prepared 2.9%
1 *	prepared 0.0%	prepared 0.0%	
institutions with the same level of education:	-Less prepared than	-Less prepared than	-Less prepared than others
	others 4.4%	others 0.0%	0.0%
	-About as prepared as	-About as prepared as	-About as prepared as
	others 15.2%	others 0.0%	others 2.9%
	-Better prepared than	-Better prepared than	-Better prepared than
	others 23.9%	others 44.4%	others 32.4%
	-Among the best	-Among the best	-Among the best prepared
	prepared 52.2%	prepared 55.6%	61.8%
	-Unable to make a	-Unable to make a	-Unable to make a
	comparison 4.4%	comparison 0.0%	comparison 0.0%

Satisfaction of Completers (Component 4.4, A.4.2) Educational Benchmark Inc. Means

All Program Areas 1=low 7=high	2019 N=116 26.6%	2020 N=115 25.2%	2021 N=107 25.0%
How satisfied are you with the quality of your student teaching	5.96	6.14	5.74
How satisfied are you with the quality of your field-based experiences	5.76	5.82	5.59
How satisfied are you with the quality of your informal faculty contact	6.07	5.96	6.10
How satisfied are you with the quality of your guidance from advisor	5.57	5.78	5.53
To what extent did your education degree expand your career options	5.77	5.68	5.69
To what extent did your education degree assist you in selecting a career	5.53	5.44	5.61
To what extent did your education degree provide access to alumni	4.30	4.47	4.70
To what extent did your education degree provide access to employers	5.20	5.23	5.32
To what extent did your education degree increase your earning potential	4.41	4.77	4.77
To what extent did your education degree enhance your upward mobility	4.92	5.05	5.02
To what extent did your education degree provide interpersonal skills necessary to succeed	5.43	5.53	5.80
To what extent did your education degree provide teaching skills necessary to succeed	6.18	6.10	6.13
What is your satisfaction with your decision to be a teacher	6.08	6.05	5.97
What is your satisfaction with your choice of teaching level	6.55	6.66	6.52
What is your satisfaction with your choice of employer/graduate school	6.16	6.32	6.07
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to create effective assignments	5.81	5.68	5.70
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to engage students in the learning process	5.97	5.94	5.91
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to manage your classroom	5.56	5.64	5.53
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to develop curricula in your content field	6.22	5.94	6.06
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to establish equity in the classroom	5.75	5.80	5.74
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to reflect the value of diversity in teaching by: Implementing strategies for providing equal access to knowledge & skills for all students	5.85	5.80	5.81
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to reflect the value of diversity in teaching by: Relating positively to diverse students	5.88	5.82	5.86
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom instruction which: Engages students in collaborative problem solving	5.63	5.69	5.66
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom instruction which: Foster student development in relevant areas (i.e., intellectual, personal, social)	5.79	5.77	5.83
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with colleagues in school	5.42	5.63	5.44

How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with parents	5.12	5.50	5.28
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to assess student learning	4.67	4.81	4.80
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to improve the classroom	5.02	5.04	5.04
experience			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to enhance student	5.06	5.09	5.07
learning			
To what degree were you satisfied with the teacher education program	6.06	5.97	5.97
To what degree would you recommend this education program to a close friend	6.04	5.95	6.08
To what degree did your education program provide a positive academic experience	6.20	5.94	6.11
To what degree were you challenged to do your best academic work	6.17	6.12	6.28
To what degree were you motivated to do your best academic work	6.23	6.19	6.23
To what degree was the information you learned applicable to your future career	6.17	6.27	6.12
Comparing expense to the quality of your education, rate the value of the investment made in your	5.61	5.43	5.49
degree			

Elementary Education	2019	2020	2021
1=low 7=high	N=27	N=30	N=38
How satisfied are you with the quality of your student teaching	6.08	6.50	5.73
How satisfied are you with the quality of your field-based experiences	6.07	6.30	5.34
How satisfied are you with the quality of your informal faculty contact	5.92	6.23	5.95
To what extent did your education degree expand your career options	5.85	5.69	5.42
To what extent did your education degree assist you in selecting a career	5.50	5.59	5.61
To what extent did your education degree provide access to alumni	4.40	4.73	4.50
To what extent did your education degree provide access to employers	4.48	5.54	4.82
To what extent did your education degree increase your earning potential	4.33	4.96	4.57
To what extent did your education degree enhance your upward mobility	5.00	5.21	5.00
To what extent did your education degree provide interpersonal skills necessary to succeed	5.73	5.83	5.61
What is your satisfaction with your decision to be a teacher	6.52	6.67	6.38
What is your satisfaction with your choice of teaching level	6.78	6.93	6.67
What is your satisfaction with your choice of employer/graduate school	6.30	6.73	6.54
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to create effective assignments	Question not asked	Question not asked	5.54
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to engage students in the learning process	5.96	6.31	5.58
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom	5.76	6.04	5.78
instruction which: Engages students in collaborative problem solving			
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom	5.68	6.04	5.72
instruction which: Foster student development in relevant areas (i.e., intellectual, personal, social)			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to manage your classroom	5.48	5.96	5.06
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to develop curricula in your content field	6.00	6.19	5.61
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to establish equity in the classroom	5.81	5.93	5.39
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to reflect the value of diversity in	6.12	6.66	5.72
teaching by: Relating positively to diverse students			
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to reflect the value of diversity in	Question not asked	Question not asked	5.44
teaching by: Implementing strategies for providing equal access to knowledge & skills for all students			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with colleagues in school	5.68	5.85	5.11
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with parents	5.40	5.63	5.08
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to assess student learning	4.36	4.50	4.49
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to improve the classroom experience	4.76	4.79	4.78

How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to enhance student	4.64	4.82	4.78
learning			
To what degree were you satisfied with the teacher education program	Question not asked	Question not asked	5.76
To what degree would you recommend this education program to a close friend	6.26	6.67	5.95
To what degree did your education program provide a positive academic experience	6.30	6.62	5.97
To what degree were you challenged to do your best academic work	6.37	6.61	6.13
To what degree were you motivated to do your best academic work	6.33	6.53	6.19
To what degree was the information you learned applicable to your future career	6.30	6.57	5.81
Comparing expense to the quality of your education, rate the value of the investment made in your	5.85	5.83	5.32
degree			

Secondary Education	2019	2020	2021
1=low 7=high	N=32	N=41	N=25
How satisfied are you with the quality of your student teaching	5.53	5.69	5.44
How satisfied are you with the quality of your field-based experiences	5.03	5.44	5.17
How satisfied are you with the quality of your informal faculty contact	5.87	6.12	6.08
To what extent did your education degree expand your career options	5.47	5.46	5.33
To what extent did your education degree assist you in selecting a career	5.29	5.18	5.00
To what extent did your education degree provide access to alumni	4.37	4.37	4.68
To what extent did your education degree provide access to employers	5.45	5.05	5.24
To what extent did your education degree increase your earning potential	4.09	4.89	4.04
To what extent did your education degree enhance your upward mobility	4.47	5.00	4.32
To what extent did your education degree provide interpersonal skills necessary to succeed	5.16	5.41	5.40
What is your satisfaction with your decision to be a teacher	5.87	5.95	5.92
What is your satisfaction with your choice of teaching level	6.61	6.59	6.48
What is your satisfaction with your choice of employer/graduate school	6.10	6.15	5.50
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to create effective assignments	Question not asked	Question not asked	5.25
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to engage students in the learning process	5.94	5.88	5.83
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom	5.97	5.92	5.83
instruction which: Engages students in collaborative problem solving			
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom	5.62	5.80	5.58
instruction which: Foster student development in relevant areas (i.e., intellectual, personal, social)			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to manage your classroom	4.94	5.12	4.83
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to develop curricula in your content field	5.81	5.80	5.88

How well did the teacher education program prepare you to establish equity in the classroom	5.66	6.02	6.04
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to reflect the value of diversity in	5.81	6.10	6.00
teaching by: Relating positively to diverse students			
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to reflect the value of diversity in teaching by: Implementing strategies for providing equal access to knowledge & skills for all students	Question not asked	Question not asked	5.71
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with colleagues in school	5.44	5.49	5.21
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with parents	4.84	5.39	4.67
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to assess student learning	4.84	5.20	4.67
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to improve the classroom	5.22	5.41	4.96
experience			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to enhance student	5.31	5.44	5.04
learning			
To what degree were you satisfied with the teacher education program	Question not asked	Question not asked	5.64
To what degree would you recommend this education program to a close friend	5.25	5.61	5.56
To what degree did your education program provide a positive academic experience	5.66	5.80	5.76
To what degree were you challenged to do your best academic work	5.68	5.85	6.20
To what degree were you motivated to do your best academic work	5.81	6.02	5.96
To what degree was the information you learned applicable to your future career	5.50	6.15	5.88
Comparing expense to the quality of your education, rate the value of the investment made in your	4.81	5.02	4.92
degree			

Special Education	2019	2020	2021
1=low 7=high	N=26	N=22	N=25
How satisfied are you with the quality of your student teaching	6.28	6.45	6.24
How satisfied are you with the quality of your field-based experiences	6.23	6.14	6.33
How satisfied are you with the quality of your informal faculty contact	6.32	5.64	6.40
To what extent did your education degree expand your career options	5.77	5.91	5.92
To what extent did your education degree assist you in selecting a career	5.88	5.68	6.24
To what extent did your education degree provide access to alumni	4.27	4.36	4.72
To what extent did your education degree provide access to employers	5.68	5.50	5.96
To what extent did your education degree increase your earning potential	4.44	4.82	5.25
To what extent did your education degree enhance your upward mobility	5.04	5.05	5.25
To what extent did your education degree provide interpersonal skills necessary to succeed	5.60	5.68	6.24
What is your satisfaction with your decision to be a teacher	6.29	6.05	5.44
What is your satisfaction with your choice of teaching level	6.55	6.80	6.36
What is your satisfaction with your choice of employer/graduate school	6.24	6.35	6.08
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to create effective assignments	Question not asked	Question not asked	6.04
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to engage students in the learning process	6.00	5.91	6.32
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom	5.17	5.14	5.48
instruction which: Engages students in collaborative problem solving			
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom	6.04	5.59	6.36
instruction which: Foster student development in relevant areas (i.e., intellectual, personal, social)			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to manage your classroom	6.25	6.09	6.52
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to develop curricula in your content field	6.64	5.86	6.58
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to establish equity in the classroom	5.67	5.59	5.96
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to reflect the value of diversity in	5.65	5.45	5.96
teaching by: Relating positively to diverse students			
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to reflect the value of diversity in	Question not asked	Question not asked	6.48
teaching by: Implementing strategies for providing equal access to knowledge & skills for all students			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with colleagues in	5.21	5.59	5.72
school			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with parents	5.25	5.64	5.83
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to assess student learning	5.08	4.41	5.08
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to improve the classroom	5.25	4.64	5.32
experience			

How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to enhance student	5.29	4.77	5.36
learning			
To what degree were you satisfied with the teacher education program	Question not asked	Question not asked	6.32
To what degree would you recommend this education program to a close friend	6.46	5.95	6.58
To what degree did your education program provide a positive academic experience	6.56	5.64	6.36
To what degree were you challenged to do your best academic work	6.23	6.14	6.52
To what degree were you motivated to do your best academic work	6.58	6.24	6.44
To what degree was the information you learned applicable to your future career	6.48	6.41	6.48
Comparing expense to the quality of your education, rate the value of the investment made in your	5.73	5.64	5.92
degree			

State Licensure Requirements Praxis II Exams

	20	18-2019	201	19-2020	202	20-2021
	Peabody	State	Peabody	State	Peabody	State
	Prin	ciples of Teach	ing and Learni	ing		·
PLT – Grades K-6 (SPED						
Candidates)						
	Early Child	hood Education	on/Elementary l	Education		
Elementary Education: Content	N=29	73.15%				
Knowledge	100%					
Elementary Education: Curriculum,		95.54%				
Instruction, and Assessment	100%					
Elementary Education: Reading			N=33	85.71%	N=37	87.12%
and Language Arts			N=33 100%	03./170	N=3 / 100%	07.1270
and Language Arts			10070		10070	
Elementary Education:			N=33	78.93%	N=37	82.11%
Mathematics			100%		97.3%	
Elementary Education: Social			N=33	73.88%	N=37	80.74%
Studies			100%		100%	
Elementary Education: Science			N=33	76.73%	N=37	83.65%
Ž			100%		100%	
Literacy E	xams – Requi	red of Elemen	tary & Special	Education Car	ndidates	
Reading: Elementary Education	N=60	91.49%	N=54	89.46%	N=58	77.93%
-	100%		100%		100%	
	Special	Education: In	tervention Spe	cialist	<u> </u>	•
Special Education: Core Content	N= 15	84.43%	N=17	79.78%	N=9	86.59%
Knowledge and Mild to Moderate	100%		100%		100%	
Applications						
	Spec	cial Education	: Comprehensi	ve		

Special Education: Core Content Knowledge and Severe to Profound Applications	N=10 100%	99.26%	N=13 100%	96.25%	N=13 100%	95.43%
Sı	pecial Educatio	n: Early. Chil	dhood Special	Education	l	L
Special Education: Core Content			N=8	93.41%	N=6	97.73%
Knowledge and Pre-School Early			100%		100%	
Childhood						
		English 6	-12			
English Lang., Comp: Content	N=13	82.20%	N=12	76.01%	N=14	80.00%
Knowledge	100%		100%		100%	

State Licensure Requirements Praxis II Exams

Three Year Values for Programs with Low Numbers

	Peabody	State 2018-2019
Education of Young Children N= 7	100%	84.14%
Government/Political Science N= 1	100%	81.43%
Mathematics: Content Knowledge N= 11	100%	42.11%
Music: Content and Instruction N= 9	100%	72.20%
World and US History: Content	100%	63.87%
Knowledge N= 23		
Biology: Content Knowledge N=14	93%	77.33%
Chemistry: Content Knowledge N= 3	100%	52.17%
Earth and Space Science N = 2	100%	50.00%
Psychology N = 4	100%	81.82%

	Peabody	State 2019-2020
Education of Young Children N= 4	100%	83.97%
Government/Political Science N= 4	100%	79.17%
Mathematics: Content Knowledge N= 4	50%	37.56%
Music: Content and Instruction N= 5	100%	64.71%
World and US History: Content Knowledge N= 6	100%	57.89%
Biology: Content Knowledge N= 5	60%	74.07%
Chemistry: Content Knowledge N= 0	NA	53.33%
Earth and Space Science N = 0	NA	66.67%
Psychology N = 0	NA	100%

	Peabody State 2020-2021	
Education of Young Children N= 5	100%	86.35%

Government/Political Science N= 4	100%	85.71%
Mathematics: Content Knowledge N= 17	88%	39.93%
Music: Content and Instruction N= 13	100%	60.00%
World and US History: Content	100%	66.48%
Knowledge N= 17		
Biology: Content Knowledge N= 15	93%	77.33%
Chemistry: Content Knowledge N= 4	100%	56.34%
Earth and Space Science N = 3	100%	68.75%
Psychology N = 2	100%	70%

State Licensure Requirements EdTPA

		2018-20)19		
	# Taken/	Planning	Instruction	Assessment	Total
	Percent Passed				
		od Education/Elem	entary Education– C	Fraduate	
TPA 0110: Elementary	N=10	3.1	2.98	3.4	57.2
Education	100%			_	31.2
	Early Childhood	Education/Elemen	tary Education– Und	ergraduate	
TPA 0110: Elementary	N=18	3.2	3.02	3.23	56.4
Education	100%			3.23	J0. 4
		Special Educatio	n– Graduate		
TPA0012: Special	N=17	3.29	3.09	3.13	47.59
Education	100%	3.29	3.09	5.15	47.39
		Special Education-	Undergraduate		
TPA0012: Special	N=8	3.06	3.02	2.96	45.25
Education	87.5%	3.00	3.02	2.96	43.23
		English- G	raduate		
TPA0003: Secondary	N=9	3.56	3.38	3.8	53.67
English Language Arts	100%	3.30	3.38	3.8	33.07
		English- Unde	ergraduate	·	
TPA0003: Secondary	N=4	2.75	3.5	4	52
English Language Arts	100%	3.75	3.3	4	32
	<u>. </u>	Social Sciences	- Graduate	<u> </u>	
TPA0003: Secondary	N=2	2.1	2	2	45.5
English Language Arts	100%	3.1	3	3	45.5
		Social Sciences- U	ndergraduate		
TPA0003: Secondary	N=4			2.65	50.5
English Language Arts	100%	3.3	3.15	3.65	50.5
		Gradu	ate		
*Mathematics, Music,	N=12	2.00	2	2.25	46.17
Natural Sciences	83%	2.89	3	3.25	46.17
		Undergra	duate	I	

*Mathematics, Music,	N=3	2.54	2.47	2.2	<i>5</i> 1
Natural Sciences	100%	3.34	3.47	3.2	31

		2019-20	20							
	# Taken/	Planning	Instruction	Assessment	Total					
	Percent Passed									
Early Childhood Education/Elementary Education— Graduate										
TPA 0110: Elementary	N=13	3.32	2.99	3.47	58.15					
Education	100%	3.32	2.99	3.47	36.13					
Early Childhood Education/Elementary Education— Undergraduate										
TPA 0110: Elementary	N=15	3.08	3.09	3.35	57.47					
Education	100%			3.33	37.47					
		Special Education	n– Graduate							
TPA0012: Special	N=22	3.37	3.29	3.22	49.45					
Education	100%			3.22						
		Special Education—	<u>Undergraduate</u>							
TPA0012: Special	N=12	3.38	3.25	3.31	49.73					
Education	92%	J.30	3.23	3.31	1 9.73					
		English– Gr	aduate							
TPA0003: Secondary	N=7	3.14	3.14	3.11	47					
English Language Arts	100%		_	3.11						
		English- Under	rgraduate							
TPA0003: Secondary	N=1	3	3.6	3	45					
English Language Arts	100%			3	73					
		Social Sciences-	- Graduate							
TPA0003: Secondary	N=10	3.08	3.15	3.37	48.11					
English Language Arts	100%			3.37	70.11					
		Social Sciences- Un	ndergraduate							
TPA0003: Secondary	N=2	3	3.4	3.2	48					
English Language Arts	100%			3.2						
		Gradua	ite	,						
*Mathematics, Music,	N=12	2.88	2.95	2.95	44.3					
Natural Sciences	84%			2.73						
		Undergrad	duate	,						
*Mathematics, Music, Natural Sciences	N=2	3.3	3.25	2.95	47.5					

1000/		
1000/2		
100/0		

		2020-20	21		
	# Taken/	Planning	Instruction	Assessment	Total
	Percent Passed				
		od Education/Elem	entary Education– G	raduate	
TPA 0110: Elementary	N=17	2.79	2.79	2.95	51.56
Education	94.5%	2.19	2.19	2.93	31.30
	Early Childhood	Education/Element	ary Education– Und	ergraduate	
TPA 0110: Elementary	N=17	3.08	2.96	3.3	56.18
Education	100%			3.3	30.10
		Special Education	n– Graduate		
TPA0012: Special	N=1	3.42	3.33	3.11	49.28
Education	100%	_		3.11	47.20
		Special Education—	Undergraduate		
TPA0012: Special	N=5	3.24	3.24	3.28	48.8
Education	100%			3.20	10.0
		English– Gr	aduate		
TPA0003: Secondary	N=9	3.13	2.85	3.24	46.13
English Language Arts	100%			3.21	10.12
	T	English- Unde	rgraduate	l l	
TPA0003: Secondary	N=2	3	2.2	3.4	43
English Language Arts	100%				
	T	Social Sciences-	- Graduate	<u> </u>	
TPA0003: Secondary	N=2	3.3	3	3.7	50
English Language Arts	100%				
	T	Social Sciences- U	ndergraduate	l l	
TPA0003: Secondary	N=4	2.9	2.8	3	43.5
English Language Arts	75%		_		
	T	Gradua	ite	ı	
*Mathematics, Music,	N=17	3.02	2.94	3.01	45.31
Natural Sciences	94%			2.01	
	T	Undergrad	duate	Т	
*Mathematics, Music,	N=6	2.74	2.64	2.82	41
Natural Sciences	83%	2., .	2.0.		1.1

Graduation Rates: 100% of candidates in both the initial and advanced programs graduate.

Ability of completers to be hired in education positions for which they have prepared: 100% of completers are able to be hired in their areas.

Vanderbilt Student Loan Default Information



School Default Rates FY 2018, 2017, and 2016

RETURN TO RESULTS

Record 1 of 1

	1,000,01							
OPE ID	School	Туре	Control	PRGMS		FY2018	FY2017	FY2016
003535 VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY 211 KIRKLAND HALL 2201 WES END A NASHVILLE TN 37240-0001				Both (FFEL/FDL)	Default Rate	0.9	1	1.5
	VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY	Master's Degree			No. in Default	13	14	22
	211 KIRKLAND HALL 2201 WEST END A		Private (No. in Repay	1353	1349	1440
	NASHVILLE IN 37240-0001 1				Enrollment figures	13397	13389	13498
					Percentage Calculation	10	10	10.6

ENROLLMENT: To provide context for the Cohort Default Rate (CDR) data we include enrollment data (students enrolled at any time during the year) and a corresponding percentage (borrowers entering repayment divided by that enrollment figure). While there is no direct relationship between the timing of when a borrower entered repayment (October 1 through September 30) and any particular enrollment year, for the purpose of these data, we have chosen to use the academic year ending on the June 30 prior to the beginning of the cohort year (e.g., FY 2018 CDR Year will use 2016-2017 enrollment).

Current Date: 04/27/2022

RETURN TO RESULTS

https://nslds.ed.gov/nslds/nslds SA/defaultmanagement/search cohort2018cy.cfm