		Elementary Ec	lucation – English	Language Arts		
	2015-2016 N=8	State Value	2016-2017 N=0	State Value	2017-2018 N=0	State Value
Level 1	0	102				
Level 2	1	163				
Level 3	3	593				
Level 4	3	138				
Level 5	1	86				
		Elementa	ry Education – Ma	athematics		·
	2015-2016	State Value	2016-2017	State Value	2017-2018	State Value
	N=8		N=0		N=0	
Level 1	2	252				
Level 2	0	131				
Level 3	1	326				
Level 4	1	108				
Level 5	4	183				
		Er	glish Education 6	-12		
	2015-2016 N=14	State Value	2016-2017 N=13	State Value	2017-2018 N=0	State Value
Level 1	4	78	3	67		
Level 2	1	57	1	47		
Level 3	6	160	9	164		
Level 4	1	56	0	56		
Level 5	2	72	0	51		

#### Impact on P-12 Learning and Development (Component 4.1) Tennessee Value Added Assessment System, 2020 Report

			History 6-12			
	2015-2016 N=5	State Value	2017 N=2	State Value	2018 N=0	State Value
Level 1	1	22	0	21	14 0	
Level 2	0	8	1	4		
Level 3	2	27	1	27		
Level 4	1	12	0	10		
Level 5	1	28	0	17		
		I	Mathematics 6-12	1		
	2015-2016	State Value	2016-2017	State Value	2017-2018	State Value
	N=14		N=9		N=0	
Level 1	4	126	2	92		
Level 2	1	54	1	153		
Level 3	3	128	2	134		
Level 4	2	57	2	140		
Level 5	4	108	2	55		
			Sciences 6-12			
	2015-2016	State Value	2016-2017	State Value	2017-2018	State Value
	N=1		N=9		N=0	
Level 1	0	61	2	92		
Level 2	0	25	1	53		
Level 3	0	56	2	134		
Level 4	1	21	2	40		
Level 5	0	57	2	55		

Special Education: Comprehensive K-12
Data Not Available for this Endorsement Area
Special Education: Modified K-12
Data Not Available for this Endorsement Area

## Impact on P-12 Learning and Development (Component 4.1) TN Department of Education, 2020 Annual Report

	Tennessee Value	Added Assessme	nt System Ratings		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
2015-2016	0%	2.6%	34.2%	52.6%	10.5%
N=38	(.3%)	(5.2%)	(39.8%)	(44.1%)	(10.6%)
2016-2017	11.19%	5.6%	55.6%	5.6%	22.2%
N=18	(26.07%)	(14.75%)	(36.18%)	(8.53%)	(14.47%)
2017-2018	25.0%	8.3%	41.7%	8.3%	16.7%
N=12	(26.99%)	(17.42%)	(37.23%)	(9.97%)	(8.38%)
Three Year Values	23.1%	11.5%	42.3%	5.8%	17.3%
N=52	(25.94%)	(14.62%)	(34.23%)	(10.10%)	(15.10%)

			Effectiveness Data (C E <b>lementary Educa</b>	· /		
	2015-2016	State Value	2016-2017	State Value	2017-2018	State Value
	N=26	State Value	N=16	State Value	N=13	State value
Instruction	4.077	3.644	3.467	3.546	3.462	3.292
Environment	4.423	3.747	3.933	4.183	3.846	3.922
Planning	4.385	3.924	3.867	3.785	4.0	3.597
Level 1	0%	0.13%	0%	0.19%	0%	1.47%
Level 2	3.3%	5.94%	6.3%	7.28%	7.7%	14.14%
Level 3	13.3%	28.36%	18.8%	32.36%	15.4%	32.99%
Level 4	43.3%	44.13%	68.8%	39.02%	53.8%	36.67%
Level 5	40.0%	21.44%	6.3%	21.16%	23.1%	14.73%
		-	English Education (	5-12		
	2015-2016	State Value	2016-2017	State Value	2017-2018	State Value
	N=21		N=		N=	
Instruction	4.190	3.747				
Environment	4.667	4.315				
Planning	4.524	3.966				
Level 1	0%	0.38%				
Level 2	0%	3.61%				
Level 3	26.1%	32.64%				
Level 4	73.9%	38.71%				
Level 5	0	24.67%				
-			Mathematics 6-12	2		
	2015-2016	State Value	2016-2017	State Value	2017-2018	State Value
	N=12		N=10		N=	
Instruction	3.455	3.670				
Environment	3.909	4.078				
Planning	3.545	3.614				
Level 1	0%	1.27%	0%	0%		
Level 2	16.7%	10.48%	40%	16.20%		
Level 3	50.0%	29.84%	0%	26.39%		
Level 4	33.3%	35.87%	0%	26.39%		
Level 5	0%	22.54%	40%	19.44%		
	070		lucation: Compre			
		Special Et	iuvanon. Compre	11CH51VC IX-12		

	N=12		N=10		N=	
Instruction						
Environment						
Planning						
Level 1	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Level 2	0%	3.70%	0%	11%		
Level 3	8.3%	21.76%	20%	32.06%		
Level 4	83.3%	49.07%	40%	44.02%		
Level 5	8.3%	25.46%	40%	12.92%		

No data are provided for Biology 6-12, History 6-12, SPED – Modified, SPED Early Childhood, or Music K-12

	Three	Year Values (201	5-2018)		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Biology 6-12	5.9%	0%	29.4%	35.3%	29.4%
N=17	(0.53%)	(8.58%)	(33.98%)	(38.18%)	(18.74%)
Elementary Education	0%	5.1%	15.3%	52.5%	27.1%
N=59	(0.35%)	(7.61%)	(30.43%)	(41.26%)	(20.36%)
English 6-12	0%	2.6%	31.6%	60.5%	5.3%
N=38	(0.36%)	(7.84%)	(35.2%)	(36.19%)	(20.41%)
History 6-12	0%	9.1%	45.5%	18.2%	27.3%
N=11	(0.90%)	(11.14%)	(34.64%)	(33.73%)	(19.58%)
Instrumental Music K-12			No Data Provided		
Mathematics K-12	0%	27.3%	36.4%	18.2%	18.2%
N=22	(0.81%)	(15.30%)	(33.49%)	(30.27%)	(20.13%)
Special Education – Comprehensive	0%	4.0%	12.0%	60%	24.0%
N=25	(0.0%)	(6.94%)	(27.94%)	(47.78%)	(17.34%)
Special Education – Modified	0%	7.1%	28.6%	50%	14.3%
N=14	(0.0%)	(6.11%)	(29.70%)	(45.96%)	(18.23%)
Special Education – Preschool/Prek-3	0%	0%	18.2%	45.5%	36.4%
N=11	(0.29%)	(5.00%)	(32.94%)	(40.59%)	(21.18%)

(State Value)

Educational Benchmark Inc.					
Factor	2016 N=50	2017 N=34	2018 N=47		
Ability to manage	Mean==6.13	Mean= 6.03	Mean = 6.11		
behavior of					
students/ Manage					
classroom					
Ability to teach	Mean= 5.62	Mean= 6.20	Mean = 6.29		
students from					
diverse ethnic					
backgrounds					
Ability to work	Mean= 6.39	Mean= 6.28	Mean = 6.23		
with colleagues in					
your school					
Ability to teach in	Mean= 6.13	Mean= 6.31	Mean = 6.49		
his/her content					
field					
To what degree	Mean= 6.44	Mean= 6.53	Mean = 6.57		
would you					
recommend that					
your school hire					
graduates from					
this program					
Use technology	Mean= 6.16	Mean= 6.25	Mean = 6.30		
Rate this teacher's academic	Least well-prepared 0.0%	Least well-prepared 0.0%	Less well-prepared 0.0%		
preparation as	Less well-prepared than	Less well-prepared than	Less well-prepared than		
compared to all	most 0.0%	most 0.0%	most $4.4\%$ (n=2)		
other teachers					
from other	About as well-prepared as	About as well-prepared as	About as well=prepared		
institutions with	others 14.0% (n=7)	others 17.7% (n=6)	as others $15.2\%$ (n=7)		
the same level of					
education:	Better prepared than most	Better prepared than most	Better prepared than most		
	34.0% (n=17)	32.4% (n=11)	23.9% (n=11)		
	Among the best-prepared	Among the best-prepared	Amongst the best-		
	rinong the best propuled	rinong the best propuled			

Satisfaction of Employers and Employment Milestones (Component 4.3, A.4.1) Educational Benchmark Inc.

	52.0% (n=26)	50% (n=17)	prepared 52.2% (n=24)
	Can't compare 0.0%	Can't compare 0%	Can't compare 4.4% (n=2)
Scale of $1 - 7$ . $1 = 1$	low 7=high		

# Satisfaction of Employers and Employment Milestones (Component 4.3, A.4.1) Educational Benchmark Inc.

Factor	2019 N=27	2017 N=35 (81%)
Analytical skills	M =6.30	M= 6.37
Critical Thinking Skills	M= 6.37	M= 6.49
Oral Communication Skills	M= 6.44	M = 6.32
Problem Solving Skills	M= 6.44	M = 6.29
Written Communication Skills	M= 6.46	M = 6.55
Commitment to Current Job	M= 6.81	M = 6.68
Professionalism	M=6.67	M= 6.36
Work Ethic	M=6.70	M= 6.54
Assessment Strategies	M=6.33	M= 6.49
Build Collaborative Professional Relationships	M=6.30	M = 6.09
Create a Productive Classroom Environment	M=6.27	M= 6.26
Demonstrate Effective Classroom Instruction	M=6.41	M= 6.40
Develop Effective Lesson Plans	M=6.26	M=6.32
Display Appropriate Professional Skills	M=6.56	M= 6.29
Exhibit a Mastery of Relevant Content	M=6.44	M=6.71
Integrate Technology into the Teaching Experience	M=6.35	M= 6.64
Reflect the Value of Diversity in Teaching	M=6.46	M= 6.53
Recommend Hiring Graduates in the Future	M=6.78	M=6.57
Rate this teacher's academic preparation as	Least well-prepared 0.0%	Among the least well-prepared
compared to all other teachers from other	Less well-prepared than most	(n=1) 2.9%
institutions with the same level of education:	0.0%	Less well-prepared than most 0.0%
	About as well-prepared as others	About as well-prepared as others
	0%	(n=1) 2.9%
	Better prepared than most 44.4%	Better prepared than most 32.4%
	(n=12)	(n=11)
	Among the best-prepared 55.6%	Among the best-prepared 61.8%
	(n=15)	(n=21)
	Can't compare 0.0%	Can't compare 0.0%
Scale of $1 - 7$ . $1 = low$ $7=high$		

# Satisfaction of Completers (Component 4.4, A.4.2) Educational Benchmark Inc. Means

All Program Areas	2018	2019	2020
1=low 7=high	N=172	N=116	N=115
		(26.6%	(25.2%)
		)	)
How satisfied are you with the quality of your student teaching	5.81	5.96	6.14
How satisfied are you with the quality of your field-based experiences	5.46	5.76	5.82
How satisfied are you with the quality of your informal faculty contact	5.95	6.07	5.96
How satisfied are you with the quality of your guidance from advisor	5.52	5.57	5.78
To what extent did your education degree expand your career options		5.77	5.68
To what extent did your education degree assist you in selecting a career		5.53	5.44
To what extent did your education degree provide access to alumni		4.30	4.47
To what extent did your education degree provide access to employers		5.20	5.23
To what extent did your education degree increase your earning potential		4.41	4.77
To what extent did your education degree enhance your upward mobility		4.92	5.05
To what extent did your education degree provide interpersonal skills necessary to succeed		5.43	5.53
To what extent did your education degree provide teaching skills necessary to succeed		6.18	6.10
What is your satisfaction with your decision to be a teacher		6.08	6.05
What is your satisfaction with your choice of teaching level		6.55	6.66
What is your satisfaction with your choice of employer/graduate school		6.16	6.32
To what degree would you recommend this education program to a close friend	5.72	6.04	5.95
To what degree did your education program provide a positive academic experience	5.90	6.20	5.94
To what degree were you challenged to do your best academic work	5.89	6.17	6.12
To what degree were you motivated to do your best academic work	5.96	6.23	6.19
To what degree was the information you learned applicable to your future career	6.13	6.17	6.27
Comparing expense to the quality of your education, rate the value of the investment made in your degree	5.39	5.61	5.43
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom	5.66	5.63	5.69
instruction which: Engages students in collaborative problem solving			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster classroom collaboration			
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom	5.61	5.79	5.77
instruction which: Foster student development in relevant areas (i.e., intellectual, personal, social)			

r		
	5.97	
6.15	6.22	
	5.75	5.80
5.65	5.85	5.80
5.55	5.42	5.63
5.10	5.12	5.50
5.81	5.81	
5.53	5.75	
5.17	5.06	5.09
5.15	5.02	
5.00	4.67	4.81
	6.15      5.65      5.55   5.10      5.81   5.53   5.17   5.15	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Elementary Education 1=low 7=high	2018 N=43	2019 N=27	2020 N=30
How satisfied are you with the quality of your student teaching	5.95	<u> </u>	6.50
How satisfied are you with the quality of your field-based experiences	5.60	6.07	6.30
How satisfied are you with the quality of your informal faculty contact	5.86	5.92	6.23
How satisfied are you with the quality of your guidance from advisor			
To what extent did your education degree expand your career options		5.85	5.69
To what extent did your education degree assist you in selecting a career		5.50	5.59
To what extent did your education degree provide access to alumni		4.40	4.73
To what extent did your education degree provide access to employers			5.54
To what extent did your education degree increase your earning potential		4.33	4.96
To what extent did your education degree enhance your upward mobility		5.00	5.21
To what extent did your education degree provide interpersonal skills necessary to succeed		5.73	5.83
What is your satisfaction with your decision to be a teacher		6.52	6.67
What is your satisfaction with your choice of teaching level		6.78	6.93
What is your satisfaction with your choice of employer/graduate school			6.73
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom	5.95	5.76	6.04
instruction which: Engages students in collaborative problem solving			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster classroom collaboration			
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom	5.77	5.68	6.04
instruction which: Foster student development in relevant areas (i.e., intellectual, personal, social)			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster intellectual development of students			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster social development of students			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster students' personal development			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster holistic learning			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to engage students in the learning process	6.26	5.96	6.31
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to create a lesson plan			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to develop curricula in your content field	6.20	6.00	
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to effectively teach in your content area			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use engaging teaching methods			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to manage your classroom	5.79	5.48	6.69
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to establish equity in the classroom	5.58	5.81	5.93
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to teach children diverse ethnic backgrounds			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to teach children diverse academic background			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to teach children with diverse learning styles			

How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with colleagues in school	5.51	5.68	5.85
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with administrators			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with parents	5.02	5.40	5.63
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to enhance student learning	5.12	4.64	4.82
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to better manage classroom	5.16	4.76	
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to communicate with families			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to assess student learning	4.79	4.36	4.50
To what degree would you recommend this education program to a close friend	5.98	6.26	6.67
To what degree did your education program provide a positive academic experience	6.07	6.30	6.62
To what degree were you challenged to do your best academic work	5.98	6.37	6.61
To what degree were you motivated to do your best academic work	6.14	6.33	6.53
To what degree was the information you learned applicable to your future career	6.38	6.30	6.57
Comparing expense to the quality of your education, rate the value of the investment made in your degree	5.47	5.85	5.43

Secondary Education	2018	2019	2020
1=low 7=high	N=8	N=32	N=41
How satisfied are you with the quality of your student teaching	5.14	5.53	5.69
How satisfied are you with the quality of your field-based experiences	5.50	5.03	5.44
How satisfied are you with the quality of your informal faculty contact	6.00	5.87	6.12
How satisfied are you with the quality of your guidance from advisor			
To what extent did your education degree expand your career options		5.47	5.46
To what extent did your education degree assist you in selecting a career		5.29	5.18
To what extent did your education degree provide access to alumni		4.37	4.37
To what extent did your education degree provide access to employers		5.45	5.05
To what extent did your education degree increase your earning potential		4.09	4.89
To what extent did your education degree enhance your upward mobility		4.47	5.00
To what extent did your education degree provide interpersonal skills necessary to succeed		5.16	5.41
What is your satisfaction with your decision to be a teacher		5.87	5.95
What is your satisfaction with your choice of teaching level		6.61	6.59
What is your satisfaction with your choice of employer/graduate school		6.10	6.15
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom	5.74	5.97	5.92
instruction which: Engages students in collaborative problem solving			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster classroom collaboration			
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom			5.80
instruction which: Foster student development in relevant areas (i.e., intellectual, personal, social)	5.40	5.62	

			-
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster intellectual development of students			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster social development of students			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster students' personal development			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster holistic learning			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to engage students in the learning process	6.00	5.94	
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to create a lesson plan			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to develop curricula in your content field	6.12	6.22	5.80
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to effectively teach in your content area		5.81	
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use engaging teaching methods			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to manage your classroom	3.75	5.56	5.12
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to establish equity in the classroom	5.50	5.66	6.02
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to teach children diverse ethnic backgrounds			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to teach children diverse academic background			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to teach children with diverse learning styles			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with colleagues in school	6.00	5.44	5.49
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with administrators			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with parents	4.75	4.84	5.39
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to enhance student learning	5.34	5.31	5.44
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to better manage classroom	5.30	5.22	5.41
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to communicate with families			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to assess student learning	4.88	4.84	5.20
To what degree would you recommend this education program to a close friend	4.75	5.25	5.61
To what degree did your education program provide a positive academic experience	5.38	5.66	5.80
To what degree were you challenged to do your best academic work	5.38	5.68	5.85
To what degree were you motivated to do your best academic work	5.57	5.81	6.02
To what degree was the information you learned applicable to your future career	6.00	5.50	6.15
Comparing expense to the quality of your education, rate the value of the investment made in your degree	4.25	4.81	5.02

Special Education	2018	2019	2020
1=low 7=high	N=36	N=26	N=22
How satisfied are you with the quality of your student teaching	6.31	6.28	6.45
How satisfied are you with the quality of your field-based experiences	5.74	6.23	6.14
How satisfied are you with the quality of your informal faculty contact	5.80	6.32	5.64
How satisfied are you with the quality of your guidance from advisor			
To what extent did your education degree expand your career options		5.77	5.91
To what extent did your education degree assist you in selecting a career		5.88	5.68
To what extent did your education degree provide access to alumni		4.27	4.36
To what extent did your education degree provide access to employers		5.68	5.50
To what extent did your education degree increase your earning potential		4.44	4.82
To what extent did your education degree enhance your upward mobility		5.04	5.05
To what extent did your education degree provide interpersonal skills necessary to succeed		5.60	5.68
What is your satisfaction with your decision to be a teacher		6.29	6.05
What is your satisfaction with your choice of teaching level		6.55	6.80
What is your satisfaction with your choice of employer/graduate school		6.24	6.35
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom	5.23	5.17	5.14
instruction which: Engages students in collaborative problem solving			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster classroom collaboration			
How well did your teacher education program enhance your ability to demonstrate effective classroom	5.67		
instruction which: Foster student development in relevant areas (i.e., intellectual, personal, social)		6.04	5.59
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster intellectual development of students			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster social development of students			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster students' personal development			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to foster holistic learning			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to engage students in the learning process	6.03	6.00	5.91
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to create a lesson plan			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to develop curricula in your content field	6.17	6.64	
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to effectively teach in your content area			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use engaging teaching methods			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to manage your classroom	5.89	6.25	6.09
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to establish equity in the classroom	5.71	5.67	5.59
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to teach children diverse ethnic backgrounds			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to teach children diverse academic background			

How well did the teacher education program prepare you to teach children with diverse learning styles			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with colleagues in school	5.40	5.21	5.59
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with administrators			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to work collaboratively with parents	5.39	5.25	5.64
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to enhance student learning	5.03	5.29	4.77
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to better manage classroom	5.00	5.25	
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to communicate with families			
How well did the teacher education program prepare you to use technology to assess student learning	5.06	5.08	4.41
To what degree would you recommend this education program to a close friend	5.69	6.46	5.95
To what degree did your education program provide a positive academic experience	5.81	6.56	5.64
To what degree were you challenged to do your best academic work	5.63	6.23	6.14
To what degree were you motivated to do your best academic work	5.74	6.58	6.24
To what degree was the information you learned applicable to your future career	6.09	6.48	6.41
Comparing expense to the quality of your education, rate the value of the investment made in your degree	5.50	5.73	5.64

	2017-2018		2018-2019		2017-2018 2018-2019 20		2019 201	
	Peabody	State	Peabody	State	Peabody	State		
	Prii	nciples of Teach	ing and Learn	ning				
PLT – Grades K-6 (SPED								
Candidates)								
		dhood Educatio						
Elementary Education: Content	N=45	77.96%	N=29	73.15%				
Knowledge	100%		100%					
Elementary Education: Curriculum,	N=43	95.81%	N=28	95.54%				
Instruction, and Assessment	100%		100%					
Elementary Education: Reading					N=33	85.71%		
and Language Arts					100%	000,170		
Elementary Education:					N=33	78.93%		
Mathematics					100%			
Elementary Education: Social					N=33	73.88%		
Studies					100%			
Elementary Education: Science					N=33	76.73%		
					100%			
Literacy E	 kams – Requ	ired of Elemen	tary & Special	   Education Ca	ndidates			
Reading: Elementary Education	N=75	90.79%	N=60	91.49%	N=54	89.46%		
	100%		100%		100%			
	-	l Education: In	tervention Sp	ecialist				
Special Education: Core Content	N=11	85.75%	N=15	84.43%	N=17	79.78%		
Knowledge and Mild to Moderate Applications	100%		100%		100%			

Special Education: Core Content Knowledge and Severe to Profound Applications	N=12 100%	96.92%	N=10 100%	99.26%	N=13 100%	96.25%			
Special Education: Early. Childhood Special Education									
Special Education: Core Content					N=8	93.41%			
Knowledge and Pre-School Early					100%				
Childhood									
English 6-12									
English Lang., Comp: Content	N=11	85.76%	N=13	82.20%	N=12	76.01%			
Knowledge	100%		100%		100%				

## State Licensure Requirements Praxis II Exams Three Year Values for Programs with Low Numbers

	Peabody	State 2018-2019
Education of Young Children N= 7	100%	84.14%
Government/Political Science N= 1	100%	81.43%
Mathematics: Content Knowledge N= 11	100%	42.11%
Music: Content and Instruction N= 9	100%	72.20%
World and US History: Content	100%	63.87%
Knowledge N= 23		
Biology: Content Knowledge N=14	93%	77.33%
Chemistry: Content Knowledge N= 3	100%	52.17%
Earth and Space Science N = 2	100%	50.00%
Psychology N = 4	100%	81.82%

	Peabody	State 2019-2020
Education of Young Children N= 4	100%	83.97%
Government/Political Science N= 4	100%	79.17%
Mathematics: Content Knowledge N= 4	50%	37.56%
Music: Content and Instruction N= 5	100%	64.71%
World and US History: Content	100%	57.89%
Knowledge N= 6		
Biology: Content Knowledge N= 5	60%	74.07%
Chemistry: Content Knowledge N= 0	NA	53.33%
Earth and Space Science N = 0	NA	66.67%
Psychology N = 0	NA	100%

		ed	ГРА			
	20	17-2018	20	18-2019	2019	-2020
	# Taken/ Percent Passed	Average	# Taken/ Percent Passed	Average	# Taken/ Percent Passed	Average
	Early Child	lhood Educatio	n/Elementary	Education		
TPA 0110: Elementary Education	N = 42 100%	59	N = 19 100%	58	N=32 97%	56
		Special Ec	lucation			·
TPA0012: Special Education	N=32 100%	51	N=30 100%	47	N=33 100%	50
		English	6-12	I	I	
TPA0003: Secondary English Language Arts	N = 11 100%	51	N = 12 100%	53	N=8 100%	47
	-	Social Scie	nces 6-12			
TPA0003: Secondary English Language Arts					N=11 100%	44
	Pro	grams with Sma	all Ns Combin	ed*		
TPA0003: Secondary English Language Arts					N=12 83%	45

State Licensure Requirements

\*Mathematics, Music, Natural Sciences

Graduation Rates: 100% of candidates in both the initial and advanced programs graduate.

Ability of completers to be hired in education positions for which they have prepared: 100% of completers are able to be hired in their areas.

Vanderbilt Student Loan Default Information



School Default Rates FY 2017, 2016, and 2015

**RETURN TO RESULTS** 

	Record 1 of 1									
OPE ID	School	Туре	Control	PRGMS		FY2017	FY2016	FY2015		
			Default Rate	1	1.5	0.7				
	VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY	Master's	e Private (FF	e Both (FFEL/FDL)	No. in Default	14	22	12		
003535	2003535 20035 2005 200	A Doctor's			No. in Repay	1,349	1,440	1,510		
					Enrollment figures	13,389	13,498	13,577		
					Percentage Calculation	10	10.6	11.1		

ENROLLMENT: To provide context for the Cohort Default Rate (CDR) data we include enrollment data (students enrolled at any time during the year) and a corresponding percentage (borrowers entering repayment divided by that enrollment figure). While there is no direct relationship between the timing of when a borrower entered repayment (October 1 through September 30) and any particular enrollment year, for the purpose of these data, we have chosen to use the academic year ending on the June 30 prior to the beginning of the cohort year (e.g., FY 2017 CDR Year will use 2015-2016 enrollment).

Current Date : 04/30/2021

**RETURN TO RESULTS**