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Abstract
A towering figure in experimental psychology, Charles W. Eriksen, passed away in February this year. “Erik” made extensive original and lasting contributions to both research methods and theories in several areas of psychology, especially involving visual information processing. His research exhibited consistent concerns with experimental methods for distinguishing among alternative explanations and distinguishing perception from behavior. Erik pioneered many research methods now in common use—including converging operations, visual search, rapid serial presentations, the stop-signal paradigm, temporal integration in form perception, spatial cues for guiding selective attention, and the flankers task. He also introduced and tested many theories of selective attention. Erik was the founding editor of Perception & Psychophysics, and served for 23 years as its principal editor. An impressive and unforgettable person, Erik was a compelling personification of “the greatest generation.”
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The scientific community has lost one of its giants. Charles “Erik” Eriksen (1923–2018) passed away on February 16 at his farm in Oakland, Illinois, in the company of his wife Barbara and daughter Kathy. He was 95. Words cannot capture the scope, quality, insight, originality, and impact of Erik’s scientific research, nor reveal the outsized vitality of his character. His ideas, discoveries, and methods are alive and will continue to impact the science of visual perception and cognition for years to come. He was a unique force and an unforgettable person.

After graduating from the University of Omaha in 1943, Erik enlisted in the U.S. Army. He volunteered specifically to serve in active combat against the rising threats to democracy and America—in Tom Brokaw’s (1998) words, because it was “the right thing to do.” Erik declined opportunities for officer training and stateside service, choosing instead to serve in the combat infantry. He landed at Normandy a month after D-Day, fought in active combat across Europe, and received multiple medals for bravery. Like other World War II veterans, however, Erik would not talk about his military experiences or awards. He was nonetheless a compelling example of what Brokaw called “the greatest generation.”

Before his discharge from the Army, he was stationed briefly at Fort Bragg, North Carolina. On a hot afternoon in the nearby town, Erik refused to obey the sign above a drinking fountain designating it “Colored Only.” Ignoring the gaze of a nearby policeman, Erik could not honor racist restrictions that he had just risked his life to fight in Germany. He spent the night in jail, but was promptly released the next morning by his commanding officer. Countless such incidents are well known to Erik’s friends. Throughout his life, Erik’s thoughts...
and actions were undeterred by superficial conventions, properties, and preconceptions. He did what he saw as the right thing to do.

Supported by the G.I. Bill, Erik enrolled in 1946 at Stanford University for graduate study in psychology. He seriously considered graduate study in physics, but the enrollment line for physics was longer. He trained as a research-oriented clinical psychologist with Maud Merrill James (1888–1978). His 1950 doctoral thesis, “Perceptual Defense as a Function of Unacceptable Needs,” was published as a journal article in 1951 (Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 46, 557–564). Those familiar only with Erik’s later work on visual attention and information processing may be surprised by the Freudian aspects of his doctoral thesis, but his focus on methodology and the clarity of his thought and writing are quickly recognizable. Nevertheless, Erik later described his first experiments on unconscious processes in perception as “extremely naïve.”

Wendell Garner recruited Erik in 1950 to the Psychology Department at The Johns Hopkins University. Erik was hired partly to run the Student Psychological Clinic there, but Garner also wanted someone who could contribute to research on perception and performance. Erik credited Garner for his training as an experimental psychologist. Erik continued his work on personality and perception, but with increasing focus on methodology and on more fundamental aspects of visual perception and attention.

Two themes of his research at Hopkins were (a) experimental analyses of perception without awareness, and demonstrations of the methodological and conceptual errors in such research, and (b) experimental analyses of the roles of stimulus and response uncertainty in human perception and performance. Both lines of work have had lasting impact. Three articles with Hake in 1955 (Eriksen & Hake, 1955a,b; Hake & Eriksen, 1955) were important early applications of information theory in psychology. Another article in 1955 (Eriksen & Wechsler, 1955) used information theory to show that anxiety did not affect perceptual discriminations, but instead acted on response variability. This was a novel contribution, since the current ideas about operational definitions did not allow for a distinction between perception and behavior. Erik’s (1960) Psychological Review article on “Discrimination and Learning Without Awareness” exposed obvious artifacts in claimed demonstrations of unconscious processes. The clarity of this critical review effectively stopped research on unconscious perception and learning for the next 20 years. When he left Hopkins in 1956 for the University of Illinois, Erik had already published 32 articles, with more in press, submitted, and in preparation.

A major landmark in the history of research on perception and cognition is his 1956 Psychological Review article with Garner and Hake, on “Operationism and the Concept of Perception.” He and Garner both had been frustrated by reviewers’ failures to comprehend methodology that distinguished between perceptual and response processes. The article’s crucial contributions were to illuminate the fundamental importance of distinguishing perception from behavior and to demonstrate how perception could be identified by “converging operations”—by the invariance of perceptual discriminations under variations in the responses from which the perceptions are inferred. By destroying the sterile ideas of operational definition that prevailed then in psychology, that article led the way from behaviorism to modern research on human perception and cognition.

Most of Erik’s career, from 1956 to his retirement in 1993 (when mandatory retirement at age 70 was still legal) was at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. His research was supported continuously by the National Institutes of Mental Health from 1955 onward, and he received a Research Career Award from NIMH in 1964. When he retired, Erik had the longest continuously funded research grant in the NIH and was the federal government’s last remaining recipient of a Research Career Award. He contributed more than 150 scientific articles over his career. His top 50 articles have been cited more than 20,000 times in the scientific literature. Forty-five articles have more than 100 citations, making them citation classics. Erik’s productivity reflected salient characteristics: his rare intelligence, and his commitment to critical thinking in science. After receiving a “golden shovel award” at his retirement celebration, Erik pointed out that “Every theory should be equipped with a shovel, so you can bury it.” Much of his research aimed at doing just that. But he also revealed many new phenomena through his original methods and ideas.

Hoffman, 1972a,b; C. W. Eriksen & Lappin, 1967a), which substantially extended initial investigations by Averbach and Corriel (1961) and Sperling (1960). Comparing Erik’s studies with later, sometimes better-known, studies is instructive and often yields fresh insights.


Comprehending the scope of Erik’s research is challenging indeed. The preceding selective survey of Erik’s research on (only) attention should be regarded as suggestive rather than complete. Whole areas of his investigation and discovery, as well as his major contributions to clinical psychology, have scarcely been mentioned. Examining only select parts of his work, however, is inspiring, and it reveals openings rather than closures. As one of us (Gordon Logan) put it, “A strange thing happens when I read the things Erik wrote: I want to call him and talk with him about it.”

Erik’s commitments to science extended well beyond his own research. His contributions included large amounts of time and service as an editor and reviewer. Of particular importance were his 23 years of service as the Founding Principal Editor of the journal Perception & Psychophysics, from 1971–1993. Erik’s reflections on his editorship of the journal are a good read—“Reflections on the Early History of Perception & Psychophysics,” 2010, Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics, 72(4), 863–866, doi:10.3758/APP.72.4.863.

Much more could, and perhaps should, be said about Erik’s compelling vitality; his love of farming, horses, and cows; his quick intellect, incisive critical insights, and persuasive and memorable verbal skills; and his pranks, jokes, and limericks. Erik enjoyed life—as a scientist, a farmer, and a friend. He was an impressive and unforgettable person. As a mentor to graduate students and colleagues, Erik was an ideal role model. His commitments to science, to critical evaluations of evidence and interpretations, and to the fun of discovery were clear and consistent. He treated his students as equal partners in productive research and writing. In the words of one of us, Lisa Fournier: “As a scientist, he taught me to be critical and rigorous. He helped build my confidence and stamina as an academic. But most of all, he taught me to keep my humor, and to enjoy life both inside and outside of the lab.”
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