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Motivation

- Pay-for-Performance (PFP) is increasingly common
  - Florida, Minnesota and Texas: $550 million in PFP
  - Federal TIF Grants in 2006: $99 million

- Little systematic evidence on teacher attitudes toward PFP in general, or specific forms of PFP

- Success of incentive pay depends on understanding and support of teachers
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Prior Research

- Prior work is often contradictory
  - Different definitions/forms of incentive pay
  - Different samples and survey quality

- Some common findings
  - Most support or paying teachers for extra work and working in hard-to-staff schools
  - More support among Black, Hispanic, male and less experienced teachers
  - More support among teachers with “good” principals as perceived by teacher
Research Questions

- How do teachers view PFP in general?
- How supportive are teachers of different methods for identifying high-performing teachers?
- To what extent do teachers understand existing PFP policies?
- To what extent do teachers support existing PFP policies?
- How are teachers’ views of PFP related to teacher and school characteristics?
The Setting: Hillsborough County, Florida

- Florida has considerable experience with PFP
  - 2006-07: STAR (Special Teachers are Rewarded) program in FL; reward top 25% of teachers based on student performance
  - March 2007: Merit Award Program (MAP) replaces STAR; allows rewards to teams, and includes supervisor evaluation as important component
The Setting: Hillsborough County, Florida

- Hillsborough County
  - 199 traditional schools (including Tampa)
  - 192,000 students (27% Hispanic, 23% Black; 49% free lunch, “B” Grade on Florida’s accountability system in 06-07)
  - Successfully implemented several financial incentive programs in the past
  - First district in FL to have PFP plan approved (joint submission by the district and the teachers’ union)
Research Questions

• Sample included all full-time instructional personnel in 199 traditional public and magnet schools
• Voluntary, online survey over two-week period in May 2007
• 23 of 199 schools did not respond; in other schools, 20% response rate
• Concern with non-random response
  – Respondents were similar to non-respondents in terms of race, gender and experience
  – Possible differences in terms of attitudes/opinions
Our Teacher Sample

- 1691 respondents
- 19% male; 11% Hispanic; 7% Black
- Range of experience
  - 14% with 1-3 yrs exp
  - 27% with 4-9 yrs exp
  - 15% with 10-14 yrs exp
- 53% in elementary schools
- 56% have union membership
General Views

- Teachers express only moderate support for PFP
- Most supportive of individual-based (rather than group-based) rewards
- Only 50% agree that this would be a positive change in teacher compensation
- 56% agree that incentive pay will destroy the collaborative culture of teaching
- 34% agree that it will make teachers work harder
Variation across Teachers

- Race and gender not associated with support for incentive pay
- Teachers with 1-3 yrs exp. express more support than teachers with 20+ yrs exp.
- Middle/high school teachers are more supportive of PFP
- Teachers in schools with higher % non-white children are more supportive
- Teachers who are more risk-seeking and less patient are more supportive of PFP
  - Other personality measures not associated with support
The Importance of Leadership and Self-Efficacy

- Teachers who have a more positive view of their principal’s leadership express more support for incentive pay.
- Teachers with a greater sense of teaching self-efficacy express more support for incentive pay.
  - The amount a student can learn is primarily related to family background.
  - When I really try, I can get through to the most difficult student.
  - If a student in my class becomes disruptive, I feel assured that I know some techniques to redirect him/her.
What Should be Rewarded?

- Teachers believe many factors should be considered
- Teachers emphasize “input-based” measures
  - Professional development: 86% agree
  - Advanced degree: 79% agree
  - Collaboration: 75% agree
- Less support for rewards based on student achievement
  - High student test scores: 37% agree
  - Student gains on FCAT: 46% agree
  - Supervisor evaluation: 75% agree
Knowledge of STAR and MAP

- Teachers do not understand how the current PFP systems in Florida operate
  - Clear understanding of what STAR measured and rewarded: 46% agree
  - Clear understanding of the target I needed to reach under STAR: 43% agree
  - Even less understanding of MAP (understandable given how new it is)
Policy Implications

- Importance of teacher education and buy-in
  - Focus on teacher concerns (e.g., collaborative nature of teaching)
  - Florida’s top-down vs. Texas’ shared governance

- Allow teachers to opt-out (e.g., Denver ProComp)

- Financial incentives for working in hard-to-staff schools

- PFP should not be a stand-alone policy
  - Combine with leadership reforms and professional development