

Chapter II

Classification of positive energy representations of $LSU(2)$

(66)

Definition A positive energy (irreducible) representation of $LSU(N)$ at level l will be an irreducible projective unitary representation of $LSU(N) \times \text{Rot } S^1$ occurring as a direct summand of F_p where $p = L^2(S^1, \mathbb{C}^{N^2}) \rightarrow H^2(S^1, \mathbb{C}^{N^2})$ is Hardy space projection and $LSU(N)$ is embedded diagonally into $U(\underbrace{\mathbb{C}^N \oplus \mathbb{C}^N}_{l \text{ copies}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^N)$.
 Let $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{su}(N)$ (= antihermitian matrices of trace 0)

Theorem Let π on \mathfrak{H} be a +ve energy irrep of $LSU(N)$ at level l , then there and \mathfrak{H}^0 the dense subspace of finite energy vectors (linear combinations of eigenvectors for $\text{Rot}(S^1)$). Then there is a projective representation of $L^0\mathfrak{U} \times \mathbb{R}$ trigonometric polynomials with values in \mathfrak{U} on \mathfrak{H}^0 satisfying

1) $[D, X(n)] = -nX(n) \quad (X(n) \in L^0\mathfrak{U} \otimes \mathbb{C}, X(n) = z^n X)$

2) $D^* = D$

3) $X(n)^* = -X(-n)$

4) $[X(m), X(n)] = [X, Y](nm) + m\delta_{m,-n} l \text{tr}(XY)$

5) Each $X(m)$ is essentially skew adjoint on \mathfrak{H}^0

6) $\exp(X(m) + X(-m)) \exp(t \cos m \theta X)$ and $\exp(t \sin m \theta X)$ agree with $\pi(e^{t \cos m \theta X})$ and $\pi(e^{t \sin m \theta X})$ (up to scalars)

~~7) The action of $L^0\mathfrak{U} \times \mathbb{R}$ on \mathfrak{H}^0 is algebraically irreducible.~~

Proof The first job is to show that the $X(n)$'s previously defined on F_p^0 , actually preserve \mathcal{H}^0 . But if $u_t = e^{t \cos \theta X}$ on F_p , then for $\xi \in F_p^0, \xi \in \text{Dom}(\cos \theta X)$ so $\frac{d}{dt} u_t \xi \Big|_{t=0} = \cos \theta X \xi \in \mathcal{H}^0$, hence ξ by the same argument for $\sin \theta X, X(n) \xi \in \mathcal{H}^0$. This establishes 1) \rightarrow 4) by restriction of the $X(n)$ on F_p^0 to \mathcal{H}^0 .

If we denote by T the generator of the one parameter unitary group $u_t|_{\mathcal{H}^0}$, then $\text{Dom}(T) \supset \mathcal{H}^0$. But by transplanting bosons as before, $\cos \theta X|_{\mathcal{H}^0}$ is essentially self adjoint so its closure is equal to T and its exponential agrees with $u_t|_{\mathcal{H}^0}$. This establishes 5 and 6. Q.E.D.

Proposition The ~~g~~ subgroups $e^{t \cos \theta X}$ and $e^{t \sin \theta X}$, for $X \in \mathcal{G}$, generate a dense subgroup of $LU(N)$ (in the Sobolev $\frac{1}{2}$ -norm topology).

Proof We have seen in the lecture on irrelevance of points that the groups $e^{t f(\theta) X}$ generate a dense subgroup of $LU(N)$ (because if t is small, there is a diffeo $\theta: \mathbb{R}^{\dim \mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\dim \mathcal{G}}$ such that $e^{t \sum c_i X_i} = \prod e^{t \theta(c_i) X_i}$, for X_i a basis of \mathcal{G}), by the implicit function theorem). Thus it suffices to show that one may approximate $e^{t f(\theta) X}$, for $f \in C^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ by $\prod e^{t c_n \cos \theta X} \prod e^{t b_n \sin \theta X}$. But this is clear by Fourier series and the continuity of exp shown also in the lecture on irrelevance of points. Q.E.D.

Corollary With π, \mathcal{H} as above, the representation of $L^0 \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{R}$ on \mathcal{H}^0 is algebraically irreducible.

Proof If $V \subseteq \mathcal{H}^0$ is an invariant subspace and $\xi \in V$, then $\xi \in$ a finite dimensional subspace invariant under D . Since e^{tD} is a polynomial in D on this subspace, V is invariant under $\text{Rot } S^1$, hence the direct sum of its eigenspaces for $\text{Rot } S^1$ and hence V is the space of finite energy vectors of its closure.

But the closure of V is invariant under a dense subgroup of $L^0 \mathcal{U} \times \text{Rot } S^1$ by the previous two results. Hence $V = \mathcal{H}^0$. QED

Theorem With π, \mathcal{H} as above, then ~~the~~ after tensoring by a character of $\text{Rot } S^1$ we may assume the lowest energy eigenspace of \mathcal{H} is $\mathcal{H}(0)$. Then

- 1) ~~π_1 and π_2~~ $\mathcal{H}(0)$ is irreducible as a G -module ($G = \text{SU}(N)$)
- 2) ~~(π_1, \mathcal{H}_1) and (π_2, \mathcal{H}_2)~~ (π_1, \mathcal{H}_1) and (π_2, \mathcal{H}_2) are unitarily equivalent, iff $\mathcal{H}_1(0) \cong \mathcal{H}_2(0)$ as $\text{SU}(N)$ -modules

Proof 1) If $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}(0)$ then $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = 0$, then for $m, n < 0$, $\langle X(m)\xi, X(n)\eta \rangle = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{U}$. But for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}(0)$, $[X(n)\xi \mid n < 0]$ is a $L^0 \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{R}$ -module. Hence $\mathcal{H}(0)$ must be irreducible as a G -module.

2) The argument of 1) shows that all inner products between a spanning set for each \mathcal{H}_i^0 are determined

by the inner product on $\mathcal{H}(0)$ and the Lie algebra commutation relations. So the map $\mathbb{Z}(\pi_1(X(n))) \cong \mathbb{Z}(\pi_2(X(n)))$ (where we have identified the isomorphism of \mathfrak{g} -modules $\mathcal{H}_1(0)$ and $\mathcal{H}_2(0)$) extends to an defines a linear isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_1(0)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_2(0)$. It obviously intertwines the actions of $L^0(\mathfrak{g})$ (and $\text{Rot}(S^4)$). The isomorphism also sends a core for $\pi_1(\cos m \otimes X)$ and $\pi_1(\sin m \otimes X)$ to a core for $\pi_2(\cos m \otimes X)$ and $\pi_2(\sin m \otimes X)$ so intertwines a dense subgroup of $L\text{SU}(N)$, hence all of $\text{SU}(N)$ (and of course $\text{Rot}(S^4)$). QED.

The classification of irreducible positive energy reps will be complete when we know what G -modules can occur as $\mathcal{H}(0)$.

Irreducible reps of $\text{SU}(2)$

For each "spin" $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ there is a unique (unitary) irreducible representation of $\text{SU}(2)$. It may be realized on the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree $2j$, aka the $2j$ th symmetric tensor power of the defining representation of $\text{SU}(2)$ on \mathbb{C}^2 . The (complexified) Lie algebra representation of $\text{SU}(2)$ has basis $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $(H,E) = 2E, (H,F) = -2F, (E,F) = H$. On V_j H has spectrum $\{-2j, -2j+2, \dots, 2j-2, 2j\}$. There is a unique non-zero vector $v \in V_j$ (up to scalar) with $Fv = 0$ and a basis for V_j is given by $\{v, Ev, E^2v, \dots, E^{2j}v\}, HE^k v = 2(k-j)v$. } called V_j $\dim V_j = 2j+1$

Theorem a) For each $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $0 \leq 2j \leq l$ there is a (unique) irreducible positive energy representation of level l of $LSU(2)$ on \mathcal{H} with $\mathcal{H}(0) \cong V_j$.

b) If \mathcal{H} is an irrep, positive energy of $LSU(2) \rtimes \mathbb{R}t^{\pm 1}$ (with $\mathbb{R}t^{\pm 1}$ action normalised so the lowest energy is 0) of level l , then $\mathcal{H}(0) \cong V_j$ for some j , $2j \leq l$.

Proof a) Is easy. $\mathcal{F}^{2l} = \bigoplus \mathcal{F}^2$ and the corresponding " F_p " is $\bigoplus_{i=1}^l F_p(\mathcal{F}^2)$ as an $LSU(2)$ module, in particular as an $SU(2)$ module, so all irreps of $SU(2)$ contained in \mathcal{F}^2 occur as $\mathcal{H}(0)$ by our results. In particular V_j occurs, as the symmetric $2j$ th power of \mathcal{F}^2 .

b) Suppose $V_j = \mathcal{H}(0)$ and choose a $v \in V_j, v \neq 0, Hv = 2jv$.

Then consider $E(+), F(-)$ and $H(0) + l \text{ id}$. They define a copy of $su(2)$ with $*$ -properties as if they came from a unitary representation. Since $[E(+), F(-)] = H(0) + l \text{ id}$, $[H(0) + l \text{ id}, E(+)] = 2E(+)$ etc. But ~~$E(+)$~~ $E(+)v = 0$ so v must be an eigenvector of $H(0) + l \text{ id}$ with non-negative eigenvalue. So $-2j + l \geq 0$ or $2j \leq l$.

QED.