

Schur:  $A = U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} U^*$   
 $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \quad U_n$

What about  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ? Issues: (1) Invariant subspace problem  
 — ' —  $A \in M(SPM) \rightarrow$  — (2) Spectral distribution?  
 $(M, \tau)$  trace!  $\forall \lambda$   $\hookrightarrow$  Brown measure

Thm (Brown; 1986): Every  $A \in M$  has a Borel prob measure  $\nu_A$  on  $\mathbb{C}$   
 (called the Brown measure) s.t.

$$\tau(\log |A - \lambda|) = \int \log |z - \lambda| d\nu_A(z) \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

(also  $\tau(|A|^p) = \int |z|^p d\nu_A(z)$ )

One issue:  $\text{supp}(\nu_A) \subseteq \sigma(A)$  but could be strict

Prop (Brown) If  $p \in M$  is  $A$ -inv. ( $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_p & * \\ 0 & A_{(1-p)} \end{pmatrix}$ ) then

$$\nu_A(p) = \tau(p) \nu_{A_p} + \tau(1-p) \nu_{A_{(1-p)}}$$

Thm [Haagerup + Schultz; 2009]  $\forall$  Borel  $B \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\forall T \in M$ ,  $\exists$  a  $T$ -inv. proj.  $p \in M$  s.t.

- $\tau(p) = \nu_T(B)$
- $\nu_{\frac{(pMp)}{p}} = \nu_T|_B$
- $\nu_{\frac{((1-p)M(1-p))}{(1-p)T}} = \nu_T|_{B^c}$

Notation:  $p(T, B) = p$ , called the Haagerup + Schultz projection.

Thm (H+S; 2009)  $T \in M$ , then  $\nu_T = \delta_0 \iff \text{sot-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |T^n|^{1/n} = 0$ .  
 (say  $T$  is "sot-quasinilpotent")

Thm (Dykema + Sukochev + Zainin; 2015)

Given  $T \in M$ ,  $\exists$  increasing family  $(q_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  of  $T$ -inv proj.

$q_0 = 0, q_1 = 1$ , s.t. letting  
 $D = W^*(\{q_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\})$

$N = E_D(T)$  then  $\nu_N = \nu_T$  and  $T = N + Q$  and  $Q$  is  
 sot-quasinilpotent.

Construction: Choose a "cts spectral ordering" of  $T$ , namely  $\rho: \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$   
 cts s.t.  $\text{supp}(U_T) \subseteq \rho(\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T))$ . Let  $g_T = P(T, \rho(\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)))$

Properties of  $P(T, B)$ :  $B_1 \subseteq B_2 \Rightarrow P(T, B_1) \subseteq P(T, B_2)$

[Schultz; 2006]:  $P(T, B_1) \wedge P(T, B_2) = P(T, B_1 \cap B_2)$   
 $\leftarrow \quad \vee \quad \rightarrow \quad \leftarrow \quad \rightarrow$  ad ctkle

Def (Foias, 1963, 1968; Apostol, 1968)

$T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is decomposable if it has a spectral capacity,  
 namely  $\{ \text{closed subsets of } \mathbb{C} \} \ni K \mapsto E(K)$  closed,  $T$ -inv subspace  
 s.t.

(1)  $\sigma(T|_{E(K)}) \subseteq K$

(2)  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E(K_n) = E\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n\right)$

(3) If  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  is an open cover of  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  
 $E(U_1) + \dots + E(U_n) = \mathcal{H}$ .

Thm If  $T$  is decomposable, then  $E$  is unique and  $E(K) = \mathcal{H}_T(K)$   
 ("local spectral subspace")

Def  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$   $\mathcal{H}_T(X) := \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H} : \sigma_T(\xi) \subseteq X \}$

$\sigma_T(\xi) := \bigcup \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid U \text{ open, } \exists f: U \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \text{ s.t. } (T-\lambda)f(x) = \xi \}$

If  $T$  decomp,  $K$  closed  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{H}_T(K)$  is closed.

Thm [HS; 2009] If  $T \in \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $T$  is decomposable, then

$P(T, \mathbb{R})\mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{H}_T(\mathbb{R})}$

Thm [HS; 2009]  $\forall T \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{norm } |T^n|^{1/n} = A$  s.t.

$\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{A}}(A) = P(T, \overline{A})$

Def We say  $T$  has the norm convergence property if (\*) converges  
 in norm.

We say  $T$  has the shifted norm convergence property if  $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$   
 $T-\lambda$  has the n.c.p.

Def  $T$  is strongly decomposable if  $T$  is decomposable, and  $\forall$  closed  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$   
 $T|_{\mathcal{H}_T(K)}$  is decomposable.

[Eschmeier 1988]:  $\exists T \in B(H)$  decomposable but not strongly decomp.

Thm (Djlema + Niles + Zanin; 2018)

Let  $T \in M$ . Then:

(a)  $T$  is "Borel decomposable"

$\Downarrow$

(b)  $T$  is strongly decomp

$\Downarrow$

(c)  $\exists$  cts spectral orderings  $\rho$ , in  $T = N + Q$ ,  $Q$  is quasinilpotent

$\Downarrow$

(d)  $T$  is decomposable

$\Downarrow$

(e)  $T$  has the shifted n.c.p

$\Downarrow$   ~~$\times$~~

(f)  $T$  has full spectral dist (i.e.  $\text{supp}(U_T) = \sigma(T)$ )

Def we say  $T \in M$  is Borel decomposable if  $\forall X = Y \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  Borel sets, letting  $p = \chi_{(T, Y)} - \chi_{(T, X)}$ ,  $pTp$  has full spectral dist.

Prop:  $T \in M$

(1)  $T$  is Borel decomp.  $\Leftrightarrow \forall X = Y \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  Borel w/  $p$  as above  
 $\sigma(pTp) \subseteq \overline{Y \setminus X}$

(2)  $T$  is strongly decomp  $\Leftrightarrow$  The above holds for  $Y$  closed and  $X$  rel. open in  $X$ .

Ex. [Djlema + Haagerup, 2004] The DT-operators (including circular op's) are Borel decomposable

• If  $T \in M$ , and  $\sigma(T)$  is totally disc connected, then  $T$  is Borel decomp.

Thm Let  $T \in M$ , assume  $\text{supp}(U_T)$  is totally disconnected. Then

(a) - (f) are equiv. and are equiv. to each of:

(g)  $\sigma(T)$  is totally disc.

(h)  $T^*$  has shifted n.c.p.

Thm:  $T \in M$  and  $\text{supp}(U_T)$  is finite, then (g) - (h) are equiv to each of

(i)  $\sigma(T)$  is finite

(j)  $\exists$  cts spectral ordering  $\rho$ , s.t. in  $T = N + Q$   $Q$  is quasinilpotent