CS 4260 and CS 5260 Vanderbilt University

Lecture on Propositional Planning

This lecture assumes that you have

• Read section 4.1, section 5.1, section 2.4, and Chapter 6 through 6.3 of ArtInt

ArtInt: Poole and Mackworth, Artificial Intelligence 2E at http://artint.info/2e/html/ArtInt2e.html **Example** 6.1 Consider a <u>delivery robot world</u> with mail and coffee to deliver. Assume a simplified domain with four locations as shown in <u>Figure</u> 6.1



Actions

тc

move clockwise
mcc

move counterclockwise

puc

pickup coffee

dc

deliver coffee

pum

pickup mail

dm

deliver mail

Explicit State-Space Representation

State	Action	Resulting State
(lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)	тс	(mr, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
(lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)	тсс	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
<pre>(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)</pre>	dm	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw, ¬rhm)
<pre>(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)</pre>	тсс	<pre>(cs, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)</pre>
<pre>(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)</pre>	тс	(lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)

An important aside

An AI uses search (e.g., DFD, BFS, HDFS, GBFS, IDDFS, A*, IDA*)

- to solve (constraint) problems (chapter 4),
- to prove theorems (chapter 5), and
- to plan actions (chapter 6) today's focus

Much of chapters 4 and 5 are about reasoning in "static worlds", in which a knowledge that models the world does not change (at least while reasoning is proceeding). We will talk more about chapter 5's concepts of knowledge bases, interpretations, and models week after next, but you will receive some quiz feedback on it this week.



An explicit state-space representation can be cumbersome

- Too many states
- Fragile
- No pattern explicit

Features to describe states

RLoc

Rob's location (4-valued)
RHC

Rob has coffee (binary)

SWC

Sam wants coffee (binary)

MW

Mail is waiting (binary)

RHM

- Rob has mail (binary)

Actions

тс

move clockwise
mcc

move counterclockwise

puc

pickup coffee

dc

deliver coffee

pum

pickup mail

dm

deliver mail

< lab, rhc, swc, mw, rhm>	mc
< lab, rhc, swc, mw, ~rhm>	mc
< lab, rhc, swc, ~mw, rhm>	mc
< lab, rhc, swc, ~mw, ~rhm>	mc
< lab, rhc, ~swc, mw, rhm>	mc
< lab, rhc, ~swc, mw, ~rhm>	mc
< lab, rhc, ~swc, ~mw, rhm>	mc
< lab, rhc, ~swc, ~mw, ~rhm>	mc
< lab, ~rhc, swc, mw, rhm>	mc

State

< lab, ~rhc, ~swc, ~mw, ~rhm> mc

Adapted from ArtInt

Resulting State
< mr, rnc, swc, mw, rnm>
< mr, rhc, swc, mw, ~rhm>
< mr, rhc, swc, ~mw, rhm>
< mr, rhc, swc, ~mw, ~rhm>
< mr, rhc, ~swc, mw, rhm>
< mr, rhc, ~swc, mw, ~rhm>
< mr, rhc, ~swc, ~mw, rhm>
< mr, rhc, ~swc, ~mw, ~rhm>
< mr, ~rhc, swc, mw, rhm>

< mr, ~rhc, ~swc, ~mw, ~rhm>

State	Action	Resulting State
{lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm}	тс	(mr, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm) 🕌
{lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm}	тсс	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
⟨off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm⟩	dm	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw, ¬rhm)
{off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm}	тсс	(cs, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
{off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm}	тс	(lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)

Action

An aside: unstructured representations can be structured through learning

Adapted from ArtInt

	State	Action	Resulting State
Features to describe states	< lab, rhc, swc, mw, rhm>	mc	< mr, rhc, swc, mw, rhm>
	< lab, rhc, swc, mw, ~rhm>	mc	< mr, rhc, swc, mw, ~rhm>
RLoc	< lab, rhc, swc, ~mw, rhm>	mc	< mr, rhc, swc, ~mw, rhm>
- Rob's location (4-valued)	< lab, rhc, swc, ~mw, ~rhm>	mc	< mr, rhc, swc, ~mw, ~rhm>
- Rob has coffee (binary)	< lab, rhc, ~swc, mw, rhm>	mc	< mr, rhc, ~swc, mw, rhm>
SWC	< lab, rhc, ~swc, mw, ~rhm>	mc	< mr, rhc, ~swc, mw, ~rhm>
- Sam wants coffee (binary)	< lab, rhc, ~swc, ~mw, rhm>	mc	< mr, rhc, ~swc, ~mw, rhm>
MW	< lab, rhc, ~swc, ~mw, ~rhm	> mc	< mr, rhc, ~swc, ~mw, ~rhm>
– Mail is waiting (binary) <i>RHM</i>	< lab, ~rhc, swc, mw, rhm>	mc	< mr, ~rhc, swc, mw, rhm>
– Rob has mail (binary)	 < lab, ~rhc, ~swc, ~mw, ~rhn	n> mc	< mr, ~rhc, ~swc, ~mw, ~rhm
Actions	<lab, ?v1,="" ?v2,="" ?v3,="" ?v4=""></lab,>	m	c <mr, ?v1,="" ?v2,="" ?v3,="" ?v4=""></mr,>
<i>mc</i>	State	Action	Resulting State
mcc	{lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm,) <i>mc</i>	(mr, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
<i>puc</i>	{lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm) <i>mcc</i>	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
– pickup coffee <i>dc</i>	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)	dm	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw, ¬rhm)
– deliver coffee	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)	тсс	(cs, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
– pickup mail	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)	тс	(lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
- deliver mail			

Concisely represent the PUC operator and the DC operator

Adapted from ArtInt

	State	Action	Resulting State
Features to describe states	<rloc, mw,="" rhc,="" rhm="" swc,=""></rloc,>	puc	<rloc, mw,="" rhc,="" rhm="" swc,=""></rloc,>
RLoc - Rob's location (4-valued) RHC - Rob has coffee (binary) SWC - Sam wants coffee (binary) MW - Mail is waiting (binary) RHM - Rob has mail (binary)	<rloc, mw,="" rhc,="" rhm="" swc,=""></rloc,>	dc	<rloc, mw,="" rhc,="" rhm="" swc,=""></rloc,>

Actions

mc
 move clockwise
mcc
 move counterclockwise
puc
 pickup coffee
dc
 deliver coffee
pum
– pickup mail
dm
– deliver mail

State	Action	Resulting State
{lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm}	тс	(mr, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
{lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm}	тсс	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)	dm	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw, ¬rhm)
(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)	тсс	(cs, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
{off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm}	тс	{lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm}

Concisely represent the PUC and DC operators

Adapted from ArtInt

	State	Action	Resulting State
Features to describe states	<cs, ?v5,="" ?v6,="" ?v7="" ~rhc,=""></cs,>	puc	<cs, ?v5,="" ?v6,="" ?v7="" rhc,=""></cs,>
RLoc – Rob's location (4-valued) RHC – Rob has coffee (binary)	<off, ?v10="" ?v8,="" ?v9,="" rhc,=""></off,>	dc	<off, ?v10="" ?v9,="" ~rhc,="" ~swc,=""></off,>
SWC - Sam wants coffee (binary) MW - Mail is waiting (binary) RHM - Rob has mail (binary)	Exercise: specify a simp generalize am operato explicit state space rep	ole learnii r descript presentati	ng algorithm to ion from the on

Why is generalization over mc instances different? Harder?

State	Action	Resulting State
{lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm}	тс	(mr, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
(lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)	тсс	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)	dm	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw, ¬rhm)
{off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm}	тсс	<cs, ¬mw,rhm="" ¬rhc,swc,=""></cs,>
<pre>(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)</pre>	тс	{lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm}

тc

Actions

- move clockwise тсс move counterclockwise puc pickup coffee dc - deliver coffee pum - pickup mail dm - deliver mail

STRIPS representation

Adapted from ArtInt

	State	Action	Resulting State
Features to describe states	<cs, ?v5,="" ?v6,="" ?v7="" ~rhc,=""></cs,>	puc	<cs, ?v5,="" ?v6,="" ?v7="" rhc,=""></cs,>
<i>RLoc</i> – Rob's location (4-valued)	<off, ?v10="" ?v8,="" ?v9,="" rhc,=""></off,>	dc	<off, ?v10="" ?v9,="" ~rhc,="" ~swc,=""></off,>
 RHC Rob has coffee (binary) SWC Sam wants coffee (binary) MW Mail is waiting (binary) RHM Rob has mail (binary) 	puc: Precondition {cs, ~rhc] dc: Precondition {off, rhc}; mc-cs: Precondition {cs}; Ef mc-off: Precondition {off}; I Mc-lab; mc-mr; mcc-cs pum; dm;	}; Effect {ı Effect {~r fect {off} Effect {lak 5; mcc-r	rhc} hc, ~swc} o} mr; mcc-lab; mcc-off;

State	Action	Resulting State
{lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm}	тс	(mr, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
{lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm}	тсс	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
⟨off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm⟩	dm	(off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw, ¬rhm)
{off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm}	тсс	(cs, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)
⟨off, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm⟩	тс	(lab, ¬rhc,swc, ¬mw,rhm)

mc

Actions

- move clockwise тсс - move counterclockwise puc - pickup coffee dc - deliver coffee pum - pickup mail dm - deliver mail



Figure 6.2 Part of the search space for a state-space planner



Figure 6.2 Part of the search space for a state-space planner



STRIPS Operators , which I will typically write $pre(op) \rightarrow eff(op)$

puc: {RHC = ~rhc, RLOC = cs}
$$\rightarrow$$
 {RHC = rhc}
dc: {RHC = rhc, RLOC = off} \rightarrow {RHC = ~rhc, SWC = ~swc}
mc_cs: {RLOC = cs} \rightarrow {RLOC = off}
mcc_lab = {RLOC = lab} \rightarrow {RLOC = off}
...
Initial State: {cs, ~rhc, swc, mw, ~rhm}
Goal State: {~swc}
Goal State: {~swc}
 $cs, ~rhc$ { lab, rhc }
puc \downarrow
 $cs, ~rhc$ } { lab, rhc }
 $cs, ~rhc$ }
{cs, ~rhc, swc, mw, ~rhm}

STRIPS Operators , which I will typically write $pre(op) \rightarrow eff(op)$

```
puc: {RHC = \simrhc, RLOC = cs} \rightarrow {RHC = rhc}
```

```
dc: {RHC = rhc, RLOC = off} \rightarrow {RHC = ~rhc, SWC = ~swc}
```

mc_cs: {RLOC = cs} \rightarrow {RLOC = off}

 $mcc_off = {RLOC = off} \rightarrow {RLOC = cs}$

. . .

Exercise 6.6 from text

(c) puc;mc_cs

pre(puc; mc_cs) = ? eff(puc; mc_cs) = ?

(d) puc; mc; dc

pre(puc;mc_cs; dc) = ? eff(puc;mc_cs;dc) = ?

(e) mcc;puc;mc;dc

pre(mcc;puc;mc;dc) = ? eff(mcc;puc;mc;dc) = ?

Why are composite (aka macro) operators useful?

op

op_i

opk

Operators that frequently occur "back-to-back" may be useful to remember as a package

Suppose that op_i, op_j, and op_k occur frequently in plans that are found through search. Then remember op_i; op_j; op_k, identify this composite operators preconditions and effects, and treat like any other operator during search

This can reduce the effective depth of search, but it also increases the effective breadth of search

Goal



Why are composite (aka macro) operators useful?

More interesting reason: macros can bridge places in the search where the heuristic is misleading

Consider this situation



but it is necessary to use the unstack operator to remove A from B to eventually achieve the final goal. This resulting intermediate state has an h value of 3



