# CS 4260 and CS 5260 Vanderbilt University 

## Lecture on Decision Tree Learning

This lecture assumes that you have

- Read Section 7.1 through 7.2 of ArtInt and

ArtInt: Poole and Mackworth, Artificial Intelligence 2E at http:/ / artint.info/2e/html/ArtInt2e.html
to include slides at http:/ / artint.info/2e/slides/ch04/lect1.pdf

## 'Two perspectives of Machine Learning:

Machine Learning for advanced data analysis
Machine Learning for robust artificial agents

pessimism (be cautious) and optimism (jump to conclusions)


## Decision tree classifiers

Each internal node represents a test of a variable, and each leaf represents a decision based on the conditions (variable values) along the path to that leaf.


Douglas H. Fisher
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## Decision tree classifiers

[ SciFi $=-1$, Suspense $=1$, Romance $=-1$, Ebert $=1$, Siskel $=1, \ldots$, Rentit???? $]$


Douglas H. Fisher

Consider a completely new test datum, with a different value for Romance (and Suspense); I have also shown the value for B\&W
$[$ SciFi $=-1$, Suspense $=-1$, Romance $=\underset{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}}$, Ebert $=1$, Siskel $=1$, B\&W $=-1, \ldots$, Rent-it???? $]$


Douglas H. Fisher

The values for Romance and B\&W of this new datum would lead to a different classification than the previous datum
$[$ SciFi $=-1$, Suspense $=-1$, Romance $=\stackrel{1}{\mathbf{1}}$, Ebert $=1$, Siskel $=1$, B\&W $=-1, \ldots$, Ren? $]$

$\sim$ Rent-it Rent-it
Douglas H. Fisher

What decision would be made for the following datum, Rent-it or $\sim$ Rent-it ?
$[\operatorname{SciFi}=1$, Suspense $=1$, Romance $=-1$, Ebert $=-1$, Siskel $=1$, BigStar $=1, \ldots$, Rent-it???] $]$


Douglas H. Fisher
[SciFi $=1$, Suspense $=1$, Romance $=-1$, Ebert $=-1$, Siskel $=1$, BigStar $=1, \ldots$, Re? ? ? $]$


Douglas H. Fisher


The standard greedy (hill-climbing) approach (Top-Down Induction of Decision Trees)

Node TDIDT (Set Data, int (* TerminateFn) (Set, Set, Set), Variable (* SelectFn) (Set, Set, Set)) \{

IF ((* TerminateFn) (Data)) RETURN ClassNode(Data);
BestVariable $=(*$ SelectFn)(Data);
RETURN (TestNode(BestVariable))


This is not the only way to learn a decision tree !!


$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\text { TDIDT }([-1-11-1 \mathrm{c} 1,-111-1 \mathrm{c} 2, & \text { TDIDT([1-111c1,-11-11c1, }, \\
-11-1-1 \mathrm{c} 2,111-1 \mathrm{c} 2]) & -1-1-11 \mathrm{c} 1,-1-111 \mathrm{c} 2])
\end{array}
$$

BestAttribute: V2
TDIDT( [-1-11-1c1, -111-1c2,
$-11-1-1 \mathrm{c} 2,111-1 \mathrm{c} 2])$

TDIDT([1-111c1,-11-11c1, $-1-1-11 \mathrm{c} 1,-1-111 \mathrm{c} 2])$



Number of data at leaf in C1 (right entry) and not in C1 (left entry)


## BestAttribute: V3

TDIDT([1-111c1,-11-11c1, $-1-1-11 \mathrm{c} 1,-1-111 \mathrm{c} 2])$



BestAttribute: V1


In general, it might appear that one integer field of a leaf will always be 0 , but some termination functions allow "non-pure" leaves (e.g., no split changes the class distribution significantly).

Douglas H. Fisher

Selecting the best divisive attribute (SelectFN):

Attribute $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ that minimizes:
treat $0 * \log 0$ as 0 , else a runtime error will be generated $(\log 0$ is undefined)

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) \sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \mid \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) \underbrace{\log \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \mid \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) \mid}_{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Expected number of bits necessary to } \\
\text { \#bits necessary to } \\
\text { encode } \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \text { conditioned } \\
\text { on } \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}
\end{array}}
$$

Expected number of bits necessary to encode C conditioned on knowledge of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value

Selecting the best divisive attribute (SelectFN):
Attribute $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ that minimizes: treat $0 * \log 0$ as 0 , else a runtime error

Douglas H. Fisher

Selecting the best divisive attribute (alternate):

Attribute that maximizes:

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Vi}=\mathrm{vij}) \sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Ck} \mid \mathrm{Vi}=\mathrm{vij})^{\wedge} 2
$$

The big picture on attribute selection:

- if Vi and C are statistically independent, value Vi least
- if each value of Vi associated with exactly one C, value Vi most
- most cases somewhere in between


## Overfitting Illustrated

Assume that a decision tree has been constructed from training data, and it includes a node that tests on V at the frontier of the tree, with it left child yielding a prediction of class C1 (because the only training datum there is C 1 ), and the right child predicting C 2 (because the only training data there are C2). The situation is illustrated here:
Suppose that during subsequent use, it is found that
i) a large \# of items ( $\mathrm{N}>1000$ ) are classified to the node (with the test on V to the right)
ii) $50 \%$ of these have $\mathrm{V}=-1$ and $50 \%$ of these have $\mathrm{V}=1$
iii) post classification analysis shows that of the N items reaching the node during usage, $25 \%$ were C 1 and $75 \%$ were C2
iv) of the 0.5 * N items that went to the left leaf during usage,
 $25 \%$ were C1 and $75 \%$ were C2
v) of the $0.5 * \mathrm{~N}$ items that went to the right leaf during usage, $25 \%$ were also C 1 and $75 \%$ were C2

What was the error rate on the sample of N items that went to the sub-tree shown above?

## $0.5(0.75)+0.5(0.25)=0.5$

What would the error rate on the same sample of N items have been if the sub-tree on previous page (and reproduced here) had been pruned to not include the final test on $V$, but to rather be a leaf that predicted C2?
0.25

Issue: C and V are statistically independent

pruned


C1 (1)
C2 (3)

Mitigate overfitting by statistical testing for likely dependence?
From data. Consider congressional voting records. Suppose that we have data on House votes (and political party). Suppose variables are ordered

Party, Immigration, StarWars, ....

$$
\text { Party } P(\text { Republican })=0.52 \quad(226 / 435 \text { Republicans }
$$

To determine relationship between Party and Immigration, we count

Actual Counts
Immigration
Yes No

Republican 17209
Democrat $160 \quad 49$

Predicted Counts (if Immigration and Party independent)

Yes No
Republican (92, 134
Democrat $85 \quad 124$


Very different distributions - conclude dependent
$\mathrm{P}($ Rep $) * \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Yes}) * 435$ $=0.52 *(17+160) / 435 * 435$

Decomposition and search are important principles in machine learning
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Issues, variations, optimizations, etc:

- continuous attributes
hard versus soft splits
- other node types (e.g., perceptron trees)
- continuous classes (regression trees)
- termination conditions (pruning)
- selection measures (see problem DT1)
- missing values during training
during classification (see expansion)
- noise in data
- irrelevant attributes
- less greedy variants (e.g., lookahead, search)
- incremental construction
- applications (e.g., Banding)
- cognitive modeling (e.g., Hunt)
- DT based approaches to nearest neighbor search, object recognition
- background knowledge to augment feature space
- ensembles (forests of decision trees)




## Ensembles of classifiers

## Decision Forests

"Bagging" is one (of several) methods for building a forest. Assume that there are N training data D

Embed greedy DT induction into a loop

For $\mathrm{i}=1$ to desired size of forest \{

Training Set, TrS $=$ Randomly sample N times from D , with replacement

Run greedy DT induction on TrS

Output resulting tree to forest
\}

To use the forest classifier, run a test datum through each tree of the forest and take a vote on its classification

## More on decision tree classifiers



A decision tree defines disjunctive concepts (in DNF)

Each path of a decision tree represents a conjunction of values

or (Ebert = 1 and Siskel =-1 and Suspense = 1)
or (Ebert = 1 and Siskel = 1 and Romance $=-1$ )
or (Ebert = 1 and Siskel = 1 and Romance = 1 and B 8 W = 1 ) ]

## What is the DNF representation of $\sim$ Rent-it ?


$\sim$ Rent-it $=$ ?
$\sim$ Rent-it definition: each path to a leaf labeled by $\sim$ Rent-it is a disjunct in the DNF expression


```
Rent-it = [ (Ebert = -1 and SciFi = 1 and BigStar = 1)
    or (Ebert = 1 and Siskel = -1 and Suspense = 1)
    or (Ebert = 1 and Siskel = 1 and Romance = -1)
    or (Ebert = 1 and Siskel = 1 and Romance = 1 and B&W = 1) ]
```

In propositional form, write $\mathrm{X}=1$ as X and $\mathrm{X}=-1$ as $\sim \mathrm{X}$, 'and' as $\wedge$ and 'or' as $\vee$

Rent-it $=[$ ( $\sim$ ebert $\wedge$ scifi $\wedge$ bigstar)
$\vee$ (ebert $\wedge \sim$ siskel $\wedge$ suspense)
$\vee$ (ebert $\wedge$ siskel $\wedge \sim$ romance)
$\vee$ (ebert $\wedge$ siskel $\wedge$ romance $\wedge$ b\&w) ]

```
\(\sim\) Rent-it \(=[(\sim\) ebert \(\wedge \sim\) scifi)
    \(\vee\) (~ebert \(\wedge\) sciFi \(\wedge \sim\) bigstar)
    \(\vee\) (ebert \(\wedge \sim\) siskel \(\wedge \sim\) suspense)
    \(\vee\) (ebert \(\wedge\) siskel \(\wedge\) romance \(\wedge \sim b \& w)\) ]
```


## Equivalent Logic Program


skips $\leftarrow$ long.
reads $\leftarrow$ short $\wedge$ new.
reads $\leftarrow$ short $\wedge$ follow_up $\wedge$ known.
skips $\leftarrow$ short $\wedge$ follow_up $\wedge$ unknown.
or with negation as failure:
reads $\leftarrow$ short $\wedge$ new.
reads $\leftarrow$ short $\wedge \sim$ new $\wedge$ known.

A decision tree covers all possible data defined over the tree's variables:

Show that
$\sim[$ (~ebert $\wedge$ scifi $\wedge$ bigstar) $\vee$ (ebert $\wedge \sim$ siskel $\wedge$ suspense) $\vee$ (ebert $\wedge$ siskel $\wedge \sim$ romance) $\vee$ (ebert $\wedge$ siskel $\wedge$ romance $\wedge$ b\&w) ]
$=$
[ (~ebert $\wedge \sim$ scifi)
$\vee$ (~ebert $\wedge$ scifi $\wedge \sim$ bigstar)
$\vee$ (ebert $\wedge \sim$ siskel $\wedge \sim$ suspense)
$\vee$ (ebert $\wedge$ siskel $\wedge$ romance $\wedge \sim b \& w)]$

## Decision trees explicitly encode context



## Different kinds of variables (though all appear the same to the learning system)

Low level descriptive variables, such as "black-and-white?" or even continuous variables (e.g., runtime $<90 \mathrm{~min}$ or $>=90 \mathrm{~min}$ )

Variables with values that are values of well-defined functions over "basic" variables (e.g., logical equivalence of two binary variables; the square of a more basic continuous variable)

Variables with values that are complex (and UNKNOWN) functions of other variables:

Genre (human consensus)
Human recommendations (experts, friends, etc)
Other recommender systems (or AIs generally)
like those of Netflix, iTunes, etc

