

Background

The FeedRite Feeding Tube is the next generation in naso-duodenal/naso-jejunal (ND/NJ) feeding. Currently, ND/NJ feeding tubes are placed with one of two main methods. The first method, fluoroscopy, accurately places tubes in the duodenum and jejunum. However, it is a notoriously slow process and often requires repeated fluoroscopic procedures, exposing the patient to unnecessary amounts of radiation. The second method relies on RF communication between the tube and a receiver placed on the xiphoid process. This method is relatively safe, but often results in improper tube placement.

Instead of using external indicators like those previously mentioned, the FeedRite Feeding Tube utilizes the body's natural physiology to determine tube location in the gastrointestinal tract. By relying on known pH and pressure differences between the stomach, duodenum, and jejunum, FeedRite plans to accurately, safely, and efficiently place ND/NJ tubes.

Achievements since last report

While waiting for the parts for our testing chamber to arrive, we have decided how we will go about calibrating our pressure sensor without the use of a variable pressure chamber. We will fill the chamber with water and calculate the expected changes in pressure based on the depth. We will then insert the sensor to the known depth and measure the pressure. This will allow us to create a calibration curve and determine our sensor's ability to detect the pressure changes we expect to see in the gastrointestinal system. Because we will be using the pressure characteristics as opposed to the absolute pressure measurements, we are looking to see how the sensor responds to gradual changes in pressure as it moves into deeper and more shallow water.

The pH sensor from Atlas Scientific is now fully functioning. Currently, the sensor operates using a UART communication method. This leaves us needing to calibrate the pH sensor to ensure that we read accurate pH measurements during demonstration.

The pressure sensor from SparkFun is also functioning. The code that has been written uses I2C communication. We have determined that for our final design, SPI may be a more appropriate communication method; however, we are not as familiar with this communication method. In the coming week, we will be investigating the details of SPI and determine if it would actually be a more appropriate communication method, and if so, will slightly alter the existing code so that it will communicate via SPI.

Problems that have arisen

We are currently still waiting for parts in order to continue making progress with our device. We are waiting on the solutions and piping for the testing chamber necessary for both calibration and demonstration of our sensors. We are also waiting for the tubing so that we can test compatibility of size and shape at CELA.

Future Steps

