Abstract Title: Effects of an Adaptive Prosthesis on Level and Sloped Walking for Transtibial Prosthesis Users

Research Objectives: To evaluate the effects of an adaptive, microprocessor-controlled prosthetic ankle (MPA, Ossur premarket device) on individuals with unilateral transtibial amputation during level and sloped walking, compared to their prescribed prostheses.

Design: Crossover trial

Setting: Vanderbilt University motion analysis lab

Participants: Eight unilateral transtibial prosthesis users (7 male, 1 female, height 1. 75 \pm 0. 07 m, weight 88 \pm 13 kg, age 45 \pm 16 years). At least 6 months post amputation. K3/K4 level ambulators. All subjects were consented.

Interventions: Participants were fitted with an MPA by a prosthetist, then wore it at home for at least two weeks to acclimate before testing. The MPA was designed to provide toe-clearance during leg swing and adjust ankle angle on slopes.

Main Outcome Measure(s): Lower-limb joint kinematics and kinetics

Results: The MPA adapted its ankle angle by the programmed amount on slopes $(6.0 \pm 1.5^{\circ} \text{ dorsiflexion for } 7.5^{\circ} \text{ incline}, 2 \pm 0.4^{\circ} \text{ plantarflexion for } 7.5^{\circ} \text{ decline}$). The MPA provided more toe-clearance than the prescribed prostheses during level $(1.6 \pm 1.2 \text{ cm}, \text{ p}=0.007)$ and incline walking $(2.2 \pm 1.5 \text{ cm}, \text{ p}=0.004)$. Statistical significance evaluated via paired t-test, α =0.05. During incline walking, four users switched from a toe-landing strategy on their prescribed prosthesis to a heel-to-toe gait pattern with the MPA, which was more consistent with able-bodied gait. The MPA stored/returned less elastic energy for 6 of 8 users compared to their prescribed prosthesis.

Conclusions: The MPA may provide benefits by reducing trip risk due to increased toe-clearance, and promoting a more typical heel-to-toe gait for incline walking; however, elastic energy return was generally reduced relative to prescribed prostheses.

Author(s) Disclosures: Research was funded in part by Ossur. They had no role in analysis or interpretation of presented data.