

July 2016 Progress Update

Study conducted by the Peabody Research Institute

at Vanderbilt University



Funded by:

The Heising-Simons Foundation and the Institute of Education Sciences (Grant# R305A140126)





Staff and Contact Information

Peabody Research Institute, Vanderbilt University

Principal Investigator: Dale C. Farran, PhD, Senior Associate Director, Peabody Research Institute, and Professor, Department of Teaching and Learning; Dale.Farran@vanderbilt.edu.

Data Manager: Kelley Durkin, PhD, Research Associate

Project Coordinators: Jessica Ziegler and Claudell Haymond

Research Analysts: Richard Feldser and Luke Rainey

Multiple Assessors

Peabody College, Vanderbilt University

Investigators:

Bethany Rittle-Johnson, PhD, Professor, Department of Psychology and Human Development.

Gavin Price, PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology and Human Development

Doctoral Student: Eric Wilkey, Department of Psychology and Human Development

Stanford University

Bruce McCandliss, PhD, Professor, Graduate School of Education

Acknowledgements

We are enormously grateful for the assistance of the Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools, most especially Christine Stenson of the MNPS Research Department, and the administrators and teachers in MNPS middle schools.

We also want to express our appreciation for the contributions of Dana True who served as Research Coordinator for much of the past year and to Lisa Swain, who tirelessly checked and followed through working with the teacher rating scales.

Table of Contents

Contents	Page
Official Analysis Sample	5
Assessed Students	7
Participating Schools	7
Mobility of Students	8
Demographic Information	8
Retention Information	9
Student Outcomes	10
KeyMath	10
Woodcock Johnson	16
Specific Cognitive Math Skills (Symbolic, NonSymbolic, Mapping)	19
Directional Stroop Task (Hearts & Flowers)	27
Correlations among 7 th Grade Measures	30
Correlations among 6 th and 7 th Grade Measures	31
Student Survey Outcomes	32
Performance and TIMSS by School Type	34
Teacher Survey and Ratings of Students	35
Teacher Survey Information	36
Teacher Ratings of Students	38
Teacher Ratings by School Type	41
Correlations among 7 th Grade Student Outcomes and Teacher Ratings	43

Outcomes by Pre-K Curriculum Condition	44
Outcomes by Pre-K System	45
Low-Scoring Students	46
High-Scoring Students	49
Early Correlates of Later Skills	51

Official Analysis Sample

- There were 771 students in our database from the Pre-K study, and the goal for the newly consented sample, as written in the grant proposal, was 500 students.
 - $\circ~~16$ students withdrew from the study in $1^{st}\,grade.$
 - \circ 29 students are no longer in the state.
 - \circ 53 students are in the state but are not in Davidson County.
 - 45 students have not been located despite all efforts.
 - 34 students' parents declined to participate in the follow-up study (though 16 of those were communicated via the math teacher).
 - 72 students were located in Davidson County, but we could not get parental consent because of lack of response.
 - 3 additional students initially agreed to participate but parents never returned hard copy of consent form
- THE OFFICIAL ANALYSIS SAMPLE CONSISTS OF 519 STUDENTS (517 assessed in Year 1, 513 assessed in Year 2, 503 assessed in Year 3).



Consort Chart: From Original Early Math Study through Middle School Follow-Up

Assessed Students in Year 3



Note. "Other" schools include 1 that only serves students with IEPs, 1 K-12 school, and 1 private school.

Participating Schools in Year 3



Note. "Other" schools include 1 that only serves students with IEPs, 1 K-12 school, and 1 private school.

Mobility of Students between Schools in Year 3

	Frequency	Percent
Attended 1 School	457	90.9
Attended 2 Schools	44	8.7
Attended 3 Schools	2	0.4

Note. 16 students (3.2%) attended an alternative school at some point during the year.

Demographic Information (Assessed Sample for Year 3)

	N	Min	Max	Mean	SD
Age at Time of Testing (in years)	503	12.4	14.4	13.0	.325
PK Building Blocks Treatment	309	12.4	14.4	13.0	.321
PK Control Condition	194	12.5	14.3	13.1	.324

	Overall		PK Building Blocks		PK Control	
	Freq	Pct	Freq	Pct	Freq	Pct
Ethnicity						
Black	396	78.7	251	81.2	145	74.7
White	44	8.7	23	7.4	21	10.8
Hispanic	41	8.2	20	6.5	21	10.8
Other	21	4.2	15	4.9	6	3.1
Gender						
Male	219	43.5	135	43.7	84	43.3
Female	284	56.5	174	56.3	110	56.7
Number of Current Schools*	59	-	54	-	52	-
Pre-K School System						
Head Start (MAC)	206	41.0	149	48.2	57	29.4
MNPS Pre-K	297	59.0	160	51.8	137	70.6

Note. Most students were located in Davidson County, but we also assessed any student who had moved to a contiguous county (4 in Robertson, 6 in Rutherford, 6 in Sumner, 1 in Wilson, 1 in Lebanon Special, 1 in Montgomery County). One student is missing ethnicity information.



Grade Retention Information in Year 3

- 413 students have gone through 5th, 6th, and 7th grade as expected.
- 72 students were in 4th in year 1, 5th last year, and were in 6th grade this year.
- 10 students were in 5th in year 1, repeated 5th last year, and were in 6th grade this year.
- 6 students were in 5th in year 1, 6th last year, and repeated 6th grade this year.
- 1 student was in 4th grade in year 1, 5th grade last year, and moved from 6th to 7th grade this year.
- 1 student was in 6th grade in year 1, then 7th grade last year, and was in 8th grade this year.

Student Outcomes: Key Math

Note. The average age of the students was 13.0 years. The average grade level of the students was 7.84.

	Ν	Min	Max	Mean	SD
Key Math: Numeration					
Raw Score	503	5.0	48.0	26.60	9.02
Age-Scaled Score	503	1.0	17.0	7.93	2.87
Grade-Scaled Score	503	1.0	17.0	7.68	2.93
Age Equivalent	503	5.0	16.0	10.82	2.62
Grade Equivalent	503	0.2	10.0	5.38	2.55
Key Math: Algebra					
Raw Score	503	2.0	36.0	20.22	6.90
Age-Scaled Score	503	1.0	16.0	8.35	3.03
Grade-Scaled Score	503	1.0	16.0	7.94	3.00
Age Equivalent	503	5.0	16.0	11.00	2.76
Grade Equivalent	503	0.0	10.0	5.70	2.65
Key Math: Geometry					
Raw Score	503	6.0	34.0	21.08	5.29
Age-Scaled Score	503	2.0	15.0	7.70	2.40
Grade-Scaled Score	503	1.0	15.0	7.52	2.38
Age Equivalent	503	5.0	16.0	10.17	2.32
Grade Equivalent	503	0.0	10.0	5.13	2.31











Key Math Geometry: Grade-Equivalence Distribution



Student Outcomes on Key Math by Retention Status

	Ν	Min	Max	Mean	SD				
Not Retained									
Average Age =13.07 years, Average Grade = 7.84									
Key Math: Numeration									
Age-Scaled Score	415	1.00	17.00	8.37	2.81				
Grade-Scaled Score	415	1.00	17.00	8.16	2.85				
Age Equivalent	415	5.00	16.00	11.23	2.58				
Grade Equivalent	415	0.20	10.00	5.79	2.50				
Key Math: Algebra									
Age-Scaled Score	415	1.00	16.00	8.78	2.98				
Grade-Scaled Score	415	1.00	16.00	8.38	2.95				
Age Equivalent	415	5.00	16.00	11.39	2.73				
Grade Equivalent	415	0.00	10.00	6.09	2.60				
Key Math: Geometry									
Age-Scaled Score	415	2.00	15.00	7.96	2.37				
Grade-Scaled Score	415	1.00	15.00	7.79	2.35				
Age Equivalent	415	5.00	16.00	10.43	2.32				
Grade Equivalent	415	0.00	10.00	5.39	2.29				

	N	Min	Max	Mean	SD				
Retained									
Average Age = 12.96 years, Average Grade = 6.85									
Key Math: Numeration									
Age-Scaled Score	88	2.00	12.00	5.85	2.14				
Grade-Scaled Score	88	2.00	11.00	5.43	2.16				
Age Equivalent	88	6.00	14.00	8.87	1.79				
Grade Equivalent	88	1.00	9.00	3.44	1.79				
Key Math: Algebra									
Age-Scaled Score	88	2.00	13.00	6.34	2.43				
Grade-Scaled Score	88	2.00	12.00	5.86	2.30				
Age Equivalent	88	6.00	16.00	9.13	2.04				
Grade Equivalent	88	1.00	10.00	3.85	2.04				
Key Math: Geometry									
Age-Scaled Score	88	2.00	12.00	6.48	2.13				
Grade-Scaled Score	88	2.00	11.00	6.27	2.15				
Age Equivalent	88	5.00	14.00	8.97	1.92				
Grade Equivalent	88	0.20	9.00	3.90	1.99				

Year	Mean Age	Test	Ν	Μ	SD
Year 1	11.01 years	Number	517	9.21	2.04
		Algebra	517	9.15	1.96
		Geometry	517	8.61	1.99
Year 2	12.01 years	Number	513	10.03	2.23
		Algebra	513	10.10	2.41
		Geometry	513	9.51	2.10
Year 3	13.05 years	Number	503	10.82	2.62
		Algebra	503	11.00	2.76
		Geometry	503	10.17	2.32

Key Math Age Equivalence across Years

Key Math Grade Equivalence across Years

Year	Mean Grade	Test	Ν	Μ	SD
Year 1	5.83	Number	517	4.20	1.98
		Algebra	517	4.31	1.84
		Geometry	517	3.90	1.97
Year 2	6.84	Number	513	4.98	2.15
		Algebra	513	5.20	2.25
		Geometry	513	4.80	2.06
Year 3	7.84	Number	503	5.38	2.55
		Algebra	503	5.70	2.65
		Geometry	503	5.13	2.31

Student Outcomes: Woodcock Johnson Subtests

		Ν	Min	Max	Mean	SD
Quantitative	W-Score	503	458	545	508.17	13.24
Concepts	Standard Score	503	42	118	86.32	12.27
Letter Word	W-Score	503	427	563	516.00	21.57
Identification	Standard Score	503	46	127	94.17	12.93

Note. Letter Word Identification was only given in Year 3.



WJ Quantitative Concepts Standard Score Distribution



WJ Letter Word Standard Score Distribution

Woodcock Johnson Scores across Years

- From the original Building Blocks study through this year, there were 7 testing time points. They were: fall of PK, spring of PK, spring of K, spring of 1st grade, spring of 5th grade, spring of 6th grade, and spring of 7th grade.
- Letter Word Identification was only given in fall of PK, spring of PK, spring of K, spring of 1st grade, and spring of 7th grade.
- The graphs below show the scores over time for those 450 students who were tested at all possible time points.





Student Outcomes on Woodcock Johnson Subtests by Retention Status

	Ν	Min	Max	Mean	SD		
Not Retained							
Average Age =13.07 years, Average Grade = 7.84							
Quantitative Concepts							
W-Score	415	458	545	510.34	12.27		
Standard Score	415	42	118	88.22	11.50		
Letter Word Identification							
W-Score	415	427	563	519.62	19.42		
Standard Score	415	46	127	96.20	11.96		

	N	Min	Max	Mean	SD				
Retained Average Age - 12.96 years Aver	ago Gr	ada - 6 85							
Average Age = 12.90 years, Average Grade = 0.05									
W-Score	88	467	528	497 95	12 92				
Standard Score	88	47	104	77.34	11.86				
Letter Word Identification									
W-Score	88	438	542	498.91	23.06				
Standard Score	88	54	112	84.55	13.04				

Student Performance on Symbolic Number (NUM)

	Ν	Min	Max	Mean	SD
NUM Percent Trials Correct	503	0.50	1.00	0.92	0.06
NUM Mean RT for Correct Trials	503	522.70	1701.88	810.81	190.83
NUM Percent Trials Incorrect	503	0.00	0.50	0.08	0.06
NUM Performance Score	503	582.44	2005.43	938.30	221.34

Note. Performance Score = Response Time*(1 + 2*Percent Trials Incorrect).



Symbolic Number: Percent Correct Distribution









Student Performance on Symbolic Number across Years

Year	Measure	Ν	Mean	SD
Year 1	NUM Percent Trials Correct	517	0.95	0.05
	NUM Mean RT for Correct Trials	517	740.72	198.69
	NUM Percent Trials Incorrect	517	0.05	0.05
	NUM Performance Score	517	814.10	232.16
Year 2	NUM Percent Trials Correct	513	0.91	0.07
	NUM Mean RT for Correct Trials	513	880.65	228.06
	NUM Percent Trials Incorrect	513	0.09	0.07
	NUM Performance Score	513	1037.89	259.45
Year 3	NUM Percent Trials Correct	503	0.92	0.06
	NUM Mean RT for Correct Trials	503	810.81	190.83
	NUM Percent Trials Incorrect	503	0.08	0.06
	NUM Performance Score	503	938.30	221.34

Note. The symbolic number task changed from Year 1 to Year 2.

Student Performance on NonSymbolic Number (Color Dots)

	Ν	Min	Max	Mean	SD
CD Percent Trials Correct	503	0.54	0.91	0.76	0.05
CD Mean RT for Correct Trials	503	490.96	1767.65	771.94	184.43
CD Percent Trials Incorrect	503	0.09	0.46	0.24	0.05
CD Performance Score	503	679.91	2525.21	1141.58	266.77

Note. Performance Score = Response Time*(1 + 2*Percent Trials Incorrect).











NonSymbolic Number: Scatterplot of Total Correct and Mean Response Time

Color Dots: Mean Response Time (correct responses only) (Year 3)

Year	Measure	Ν	Mean	SD
Year 1	CD Percent Trials Correct	291	0.75	0.05
	CD Mean RT for Correct Trials	291	861.65	181.91
	CD Percent Trials Incorrect	291	0.25	0.05
	CD Performance Score	291	1294.31	265.81
Year 2	CD Percent Trials Correct	513	0.75	0.05
	CD Mean RT for Correct Trials	513	839.77	220.70
	CD Percent Trials Incorrect	513	0.25	0.05
	CD Performance Score	513	1257.32	328.62
Year 3	CD Percent Trials Correct	503	0.76	0.05
	CD Mean RT for Correct Trials	503	771.94	184.43
	CD Percent Trials Incorrect	503	0.24	0.05
	CD Performance Score	503	1141.58	266.77

Student Performance on NonSymbolic Number across Years

Note. The Color Dots task was added after Year 1 data collection was already partially completed.

Student Performance on Mapping Task Comparison

	NT	241	17	3.7	
	N	Min	Max	Mean	SD
MAP Overall Percent Trials Correct	502	0.46	0.88	0.69	0.08
MAP Overall Mean RT for Correct Trials	502	630.42	2516.29	1230.14	263.22
MAP Overall Percent Trials Incorrect	502	0.12	0.54	0.31	0.08
MAP Overall Performance Score	502	1005.68	3919.06	1982.66	380.59
MAP Digits to Dots Percent Trials Correct	502	0.40	0.93	0.71	0.09
MAP Digits to Dots Mean RT for Correct	502	693.43	2489.44	1220.33	258.08
Trials					
MAP Digits to Dots Percent Trials	502	0.07	0.60	0.29	0.09
Incorrect					
MAP Digits to Dots Performance Score	502	1089.68	3702.91	1924.82	394.91
MAP Dots to Digits Percent Trials Correct	502	0.38	0.90	0.67	0.09
MAP Dots to Digits Mean RT for Correct	502	565.24	2562.28	1238.52	289.57
Trials					
MAP Dots to Digits Percent Trials	502	0.10	0.62	0.33	0.09
Incorrect					
MAP Dots to Digits Performance Score	502	915.15	4148.45	2036.08	425.91

Note. Performance Score = Response Time*(1 + 2*Percent Trials Incorrect). 1 student did not pass the practice trials and thus had no non-practice data. New task only given in Year 3; no earlier comparison data available.



Mapping: Percent Correct Distribution





Mapping: Mean Response Time (correct responses only) (Year 3)

Student Performance on Directional Stroop Task (Hearts and Flowers)

	N	Min	Max	Mean	SD
Congruent Trials Presented Alone					
Percent trials correct	503	0.75	1.00	0.98	0.05
Mean response time per trial	503	230.75	689.08	353.18	59.16
Incongruent Trials Presented Alone					
Percent trials correct	502	0.00	1.00	0.93	0.13
Mean response time per trial	502	266.42	621.25	396.45	64.66
Mixed Trials					
Percent trials correct	502	0.38	1.00	0.76	0.14
Mean response time per trial	502	328.42	716.98	521.59	64.23
Fixed Trials					
Percent trials correct	503	0.50	1.00	0.96	0.07
Mean response time per trial	503	257.04	613.75	374.92	53.45

Note. Response time includes both correct and incorrect responses. Also, one student only had non-practice data for congruent fixed trials.





Student Performance on Directional Stroop Task across Years by Block Type

Fixed Congruent Block

Year	Measure	Ν	Mean	SD
Year 1	HAF Percent Trials Correct	516	0.97	0.07
	HAF Mean RT per Trial	516	383.86	70.52
Year 2	HAF Percent Trials Correct	512	0.97	0.06
	HAF Mean RT per Trial	512	368.17	65.83
Year 3	HAF Percent Trials Correct	503	0.98	0.05
	HAF Mean RT per Trial	503	353.18	59.16

Fixed Incongruent Block

Year	Measure	Ν	Mean	SD
Year 1	HAF Percent Trials Correct	485	0.88	0.18
	HAF Mean RT per Trial	481	454.24	92.85
Year 2	HAF Percent Trials Correct	511	0.90	0.16
	HAF Mean RT per Trial	508	428.24	91.02
Year 3	HAF Percent Trials Correct	502	0.93	0.13
	HAF Mean RT per Trial	502	396.45	64.66
			_	-

Note. Some students did not pass the practice trials and did not have Incongruent Block data.

Mixed Block

Year	Measure	Ν	Mean	SD
Year 1	HAF Percent Trials Correct	485	0.66	0.14
	HAF Mean RT per Trial	485	573.32	84.80
Year 2	HAF Percent Trials Correct	511	0.73	0.15
	HAF Mean RT per Trial	511	555.13	75.02
Year 3	HAF Percent Trials Correct	502	0.76	0.14
	HAF Mean RT per Trial	502	521.59	64.23

Note. Some students did not pass the practice trials and did not have Mixed Block data.

Correlations among 7th Grade Measures

	Ι	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII	XIII	XIV	XV	XVI	XVII
I. KM Number (Age-Scaled)																	
II. KM Algebra (Age-Scaled)	.86																
III. KM Geometry (Age-Scaled)	.71	.70															
IV. WJ Quant. Cpts. (Std. Score)	.78	.79	.62														
V. WJ Letter Word (Std. Score)	.54	.56	.46	.66													
VI. Number: Accuracy	.38	.38	.29	.41	.29												
VII. Number: Correct RT	15	17	12	13	12	.12											
VIII. Color Dots: Accuracy	.16	.16	.13	.10	.09	.32	.07										
IX. Color Dots: Correct RT	.00	01	05	01	01	.21	.80	.11									
X. Mapping All: Accuracy	.43	.41	.34	.43	.33	.53	.11	.31	.24								
XI. Mapping All: Correct RT	.04	.03	.01	.06	.04	.20	.52	.10	.67	.38							
XII. HAF: Accuracy (Cong.)	.19	.15	.15	.21	.08	.32	01	.18	.01	.22	.06						
XIII. HAF: RT (Congruent)	11	16	09	11	13	09	.21	07	.14	09	.15	.11					
XIV. HAF: Accuracy (Incong.)	.32	.31	.26	.34	.23	.38	05	.08	.00	.28	.01	.16	05				
XV. HAF: RT (Incong.)	23	28	22	25	25	18	.21	17	.11	19	.13	03	.48	21			
XVI. HAF: Accuracy (Mixed)	.44	.42	.36	.42	.33	.43	08	.30	.05	.40	.11	.25	09	.41	30		
XVII. HAF: RT (Mixed)	01	.01	.04	.06	03	.13	.17	.02	.13	.12	.23	.20	.32	.12	.35	.11	

Note. Red cells indicate correlations greater than .20. Green cells indicate correlations less than -.20.

Correlations among 6^{th} Grade and 7^{th} Grade Measures

							Year 3	(7 th Gra	ade) Ou	tcomes							
		KM NUM	KM ALG	KM GEO	QCS	NUM Acc	NUM RT	CD Acc	CD RT	MAP Acc	MAP RT	HAF Acc (cong)	HAF RT (cong)	HAF Acc (incong)	HAF RT (incong)	HAF Acc (mix)	HAF RT (mix)
	KM NUM	.88	.79	.66	.75	.36	15	.17	02	.43	.04	.14	12	.28	27	.41	04
	KM ALG	.83	.82	.65	.77	.38	16	.15	04	.43	.00	.16	13	.33	27	.39	01
s	KM GEO	.70	.67	.72	.65	.27	10	.11	.01	.36	.04	.17	07	.27	23	.39	.04
me	QCS	.74	.75	.58	.80	.41	13	.15	02	.42	.02	.14	13	.32	27	.41	.07
utco	NUM Acc	.40	.38	.25	.42	.68	.03	.23	.17	.46	.15	.24	14	.34	19	.36	.09
Ю О	NUM RT	07	06	05	07	.07	.63	.03	.57	.12	.38	.00	.12	01	.14	08	.12
ade	CD Acc	.14	.15	.16	.13	.25	.03	.35	.06	.24	.02	.20	06	.10	17	.24	01
ⁿ Gr	CD RT	.06	.05	01	.04	.18	.58	.08	.68	.20	.50	.01	.07	.03	.12	.03	.12
(6 ^{tl}	MAP Acc	.21	.16	.16	.20	.27	.01	.07	.10	.24	.09	.07	09	.21	07	.21	05
ar 2	MAP RT	.07	.06	.01	.05	.15	.53	.07	.64	.20	.54	03	.08	01	.10	.00	.11
Yeä	HAF Acc (cong)	.20	.24	.13	.20	.23	05	.07	.01	.19	.04	.21	08	.22	10	.25	.03
	HAF RT (cong)	14	19	11	16	10	.11	09	.06	12	.00	.03	.36	05	.29	11	.15
	HAF Acc (incong)	.27	.29	.21	.30	.28	10	.14	.00	.22	.01	.27	09	.34	23	.41	.06
	HAF RT (incong)	27	29	26	29	25	.14	20	.04	23	.06	12	.35	17	.45	33	.25
	HAF Acc (mix)	.41	.40	.34	.41	.39	11	.21	.01	.33	.02	.24	11	.31	27	.62	08
	HAF RT (mix)	02	.00	.01	.00	.12	.09	.03	.02	01	.09	.13	.16	.07	.25	.00	.46

Note. Red cells indicate correlations greater than .20. Green cells indicate correlations less than -.20.

Student Survey Outcomes: TIMSS (Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study)

	Ν	Min	Max	Mean	SD
Confidence Scale Average	503	1.33	4.00	3.07	0.62
I know what my math teacher expects	503	1	4	3.76	0.51
My math teacher is easy to understand	503	1	4	3.13	0.86
I usually do well in math	503	1	4	3.22	0.83
Math is more difficult for me than my classmates (reverse coded)	503	1	4	2.72	1.06
Math is not one of my strengths (reverse coded)	503	1	4	2.85	1.15
I learn quickly in math	503	1	4	2.87	0.98
Math makes me confused and nervous (reverse coded)	503	1	4	2.86	1.03
I am good at working out hard math problems	503	1	4	2.74	0.96
My teacher thinks I am good at working out hard math problems	503	1	4	3.19	0.84
My teacher tells me I am good at math	503	1	4	3.29	0.88
Math is harder for me than other subjects (reverse coded)	503	1	4	2.82	1.14
My family thinks I am good at math	503	1	4	3.41	0.80
Value Scale Average	503	1.33	4.00	3.52	0.42
It is important to do well in math	503	1	4	3.89	0.39
Learning math will help me in daily life	503	1	4	3.76	0.53
I need math to learn other subjects	503	1	4	3.36	0.80
I need to do well in math to get into college	503	1	4	3.76	0.55
I need to do well in math to get the job I want	503	1	4	3.69	0.67
I would like a job that uses math	503	1	4	2.66	0.99
Like Learning Scale Average	503	1.13	4.00	3.21	0.60
I enjoy learning math	503	1	4	3.32	0.74
I wish I did not have to study math (reverse coded)	503	1	4	3.23	0.90
Math is boring (reverse coded)	503	1	4	3.03	0.96
I learn interesting things in math	503	1	4	3.62	0.70
I like math	503	1	4	3.25	0.93
I think of things not related to the lesson (reverse coded)	503	1	4	2.59	0.92
I'm interested in what my math teacher says	503	1	4	3.30	0.77
My math teacher gives me interesting things to do	503	1	4	3.34	0.81

Note. All negative items above were reverse coded (e.g., Math is boring) so that on all items higher scores mean more positive student ratings.



Distributions of Student Survey Subscales in Year 3

Student Ratings for Subscales by Year

	Year 2		Year 3		Change	
	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD
Students' Confidence in Mathematics Avg	3.22	.58	3.07	0.62	-0.15	0.50
Students Value Mathematics Avg	3.55	.40	3.52	0.42	-0.03	0.43
Students Like Learning Mathematics Avg	3.37	.53	3.21	0.60	-0.17	0.49

Student Outcomes and TIMSS Ratings by School Type

Direct Assessment Outcomes by School Type								
	СН	IARTER	IZONE		MIDDLE		OTHER	
	Ν	Mean	Ν	Mean	Ν	Mean	Ν	Mean
KM Number (age scaled)	113	8.44	64	7.09	323	7.89	3	10.00
KM Algebra (age scaled)	113	8.98	64	7.58	323	8.27	3	10.33
KM Geometry (age scaled)	113	8.00	64	7.17	323	7.68	3	9.67
WJ Quant. Concepts (standard score)	113	88.35	64	83.66	323	86.11	3	88.00
WJ Letter Word (standard score)	113	94.98	64	89.66	323	94.71	3	101.33
Number: Accuracy	113	0.92	64	0.91	323	0.92	3	0.92
Number: Correct RT	113	817.58	64	855.25	323	799.90	3	782.73
Color Dots: Accuracy	113	0.76	64	0.76	323	0.76	3	0.75
Color Dots: Correct RT	113	780.34	64	806.94	323	762.91	3	681.29
Mapping: Accuracy	112	0.70	64	0.68	323	0.69	3	0.74
Mapping: Correct RT	112	1231.57	64	1231.58	323	1229.47	3	1217.00
HAF: Accuracy (congruent)	113	0.98	64	0.97	323	0.98	3	0.92
HAF: RT (congruent)	113	351.87	64	342.08	323	356.08	3	327.25
HAF: Accuracy (incongruent)	113	0.94	64	0.91	322	0.93	3	1.00
HAF: RT (incongruent)	113	401.16	64	396.19	322	395.14	3	364.89
HAF: Accuracy (mixed)	113	0.77	64	0.73	322	0.77	3	0.78
HAF: RT (mixed)	113	523.01	64	513.98	322	522.63	3	519.14

Student Ratings by School Type								
	CHARTER		CHARTER IZONE		MIDDLE		OTHER	
	Ν	Mean	Ν	Mean	Ν	Mean	Ν	Mean
TIMSS: Confidence	113	3.08	64	3.17	323	3.05	3	3.22
TIMSS: Value	113	3.53	64	3.58	323	3.51	3	3.78
TIMSS: Liking	113	3.21	64	3.32	323	3.18	3	3.79
TIMSS: Total	113	83.87	64	85.98	323	83.07	3	91.67

Student Ratings if Attended an Alternative School								
	Attende	d Alternative	School	Didn't Att	end Alternat	ive School		
	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD		
TIMSS: Confidence	16	3.20	0.50	487	3.07	0.62		
TIMSS: Value	16	3.43	0.50	487	3.52	0.41		
TIMSS: Liking	16	3.11	0.44	487	3.21	0.60		
TIMSS: Total	16	83.81	10.32	487	83.67	12.68		

Teacher Survey and Ratings of Students (TSSR)

- The TSSR includes:
 - Section with teacher-specific questions (demographics, education, experience)
 - Section with student-specific questions (each consented student's math abilities, work habits, etc.) and classroomspecific questions (for math classes taught that include consented students, regarding textbook use, enrollment by ethnicity, etc.)
- We sent out 144 TSSRs to teachers with at least 1 consented student.
- One teacher did **not** complete the section with teacher-specific questions but did complete the section with student-specific questions
- We have 132 <u>fully</u> completed and checked TSSR's (includes 481 students, 93% of consented student sample).

Teacher Survey Information

Information from the 132 completed teacher surveys

- Gender
 - 97 females (74%), 35 males (27%)
- Grades Taught
 - 1 teaches 5th grade (1%), 41 teach 6th grade (31%), 73 teach 7th grade (55%), 17 teach multiple grades (13%)
- Preferred Grade To Teach
 - 12 teachers (9%) reported that they would prefer to teach younger students than their current grade(s) level
 - 105 teachers (80%) reported that their current grade(s) level is just right
 - 15 teachers (11%) reported that they would prefer to teach older students than their current grade(s) level
- Math Taught
 - 102 teachers (77%) currently only teach math, while 30 teachers (23%) also teach other subjects
- Experience
 - o Years as a teacher
 - This is 1st year: 14 (11%)
 - 2-4 years: 47 (36%)
 - 5-10 years: 31 (24%)
 - More than 10 years: 40 (30%)
 - Years at current school
 - This is 1st year: 43 (33%)
 - 2-4 years: 53 (40%)
 - 5-10 years: 23 (17%)
 - More than 10 years: 13 (10%)
 - Years teaching middle grades math
 - This is 1st year: 24 (18%)
 - 2-4 years: 54 (41%)
 - 5-10 years: 29 (22%)
 - More than 10 years: 25 (19%)

- Licensure (categories add up to more than 100%)
 - Early Childhood license (at least): 5 (4%)
 - Elementary license (at least): 46 (35%)
 - Middle Grades license (at least): 71 (54%)
 - Secondary license (at least): 26 (20%)
 - Special Education license (at least): 18 (14%)
 - Transitional license (at least): 4 (3%)
- Education
 - Highest degree earned
 - Bachelor's degree: 57 (43%)
 - Master's degree: 56 (42%)
 - Master's degree + 30: 18 (14%)
 - Doctoral degree: 1 (1%)
 - Majored in math in undergraduate program
 - Yes: 21 (16%)
 - No: 111 (84%)
 - Minored in math in undergraduate program
 - Yes: 14 (11%)
 - No: 99 (75%)
 - No minor (NA): 19 (14%)
 - Majored in math in graduate school
 - Yes: 18 (14%)
 - No: 81 (61%)
 - No grad school (NA): 33 (25%)
- Name of math textbook used
 - Glencoe Math Built to the Common Core: 74 (56%)
 - None: 35 (27%)
 - College Preparatory Mathematics/Core Connections: 6 (5%)
 - Carnegie Learning: 5 (4%)
 - Glencoe Math ConnectEd: 5 (4%)
 - Other: 7 (5%)
- How much you supplement the textbook with other materials
 - Almost never: 4 (3%)
 - A little: 16 (12%)
 - Somewhat: 37 (28%)
 - A lot: 54 (41%)
 - NA (no math textbook used): 21 (16%)
 - Note that 14 teachers who said they had no textbook said they supplemented the textbook a lot, and 1 teacher who said she had no textbook said she supplemented the textbook a little.

Teacher Ratings of Students

Information from the 481 completed teacher-rated students

- Does student receive individual tutoring in math?
 - Yes: 49 (10%)
 - No: 432 (90%)
- Does student receive pullout small group instruction in math?
 - Yes: 140 (29%)
 - No: 341 (71%)
- Does student participate in gifted/talented programs in math?
 - Yes: 6 (1%)
 - No: 475 (99%)
- Does student participate in a Title 1 program in math?
 - Yes: 96 (20%)
 - No: 385 (80%)
- Is ability grouping used within this student's grade?
 - Yes: 185 (38%)
 - No: 296 (62%)
- If there is ability grouping, how do the students in this student's class compare to typical students in this grade at this school?
 - Less skilled: 67 (14%)
 - About the same: 90 (19%)
 - More advanced: 28 (6%)
 - Not applicable (no ability grouping): 296 (62%)
- Does the teacher use ability grouping in this student's class?
 - Yes: 189 (39%)
 - No: 292 (61%)
- If there is ability grouping, how does this student compare to others in the class?
 - Less skilled: 64 (13%)
 - About the same: 74 (15%)
 - More advanced: 51 (11%)
 - Not applicable (no ability grouping): 292 (61%)
- How often does this student work to the best of his/her ability in math?
 - Always: 68 (14%)
 - Usually: 186 (39%)
 - Erratic: 137 (28%)
 - Seldom: 79 (16%)
 - Never: 11 (2%)

- How does this student's math skills compare to others in his/her grade?
 - Far above average: 21 (4%)
 - Above average: 105 (22%)
 - Average: 178 (37%)
 - Below average: 123 (26%)
 - Far below average: 54 (11%)
- How does this student's interest in math compare to others in his/her grade?
 - Far above average: 15 (3%)
 - Above average: 98 (20%)
 - Average: 230 (48%)
 - Below average: 100 (21%)
 - Far below average: 38 (8%)
- How prepared is this student for the next level in math?
 - Highly prepared: 41 (9%)
 - Mostly prepared: 118 (25%)
 - May struggle but is prepared: 150 (31%)
 - Somewhat unlikely to be prepared: 93 (19%)
 - Very unlikely to be prepared: 79 (16%)
- How long has the teacher taught this student math this year?
 - More than 6 months: 394 (82%)
 - 4-6 months: 73 (15%)
 - 1-3 months: 12 (2%)
 - Less than 1 month: 2 (1%)
- This student concentrates well and is not easily distracted when doing a task.
 - Strongly agree: 60 (13%)
 - Agree: 167 (35%)
 - Disagree: 159 (33%)
 - Strongly disagree: 95 (20%)
- This student easily plans and carries out activities that have several steps.
 - Strongly agree: 62 (13%)
 - Agree: 185 (39%)
 - Disagree: 156 (32%)
 - Strongly disagree: 78 (16%)
- This student finishes tasks and activities.
 - Strongly agree: 80 (17%)
 - Agree: 212 (44%)
 - Disagree: 133 (28%)
 - Strongly disagree: 56 (12%)

- This student actively uses resources for help and information.
 - Strongly agree: 67 (14%)
 - Agree: 208 (43%)
 - Disagree: 154 (32%)
 - Strongly disagree: 52 (11%)
- Does this student have math-specific difficulties?
 - Yes: 44 (9%)
 - No: 437 (91%)
 - Responses (and frequency) if "Yes":

Functionally Delayed/Specific Learning Disability	13
ADHD/ADD	5
Computations and applications	5
Very low basic math skills	5
IEP	4
IEP for math	4
Speech or Language Impairments	2
Linguistic or reading disability that makes word	
problems difficult	2
Receives accommodations for math	1
Other health impairment	1
Not diagnosed at this time and referred for testing	2

Teacher Ratings of Students by Year

	Year 1 (N=463)		Year 2 (N=503)		Year 3 (N=481	
	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD
Works to best of ability in math	3.68	0.97	3.46	0.99	3.46	1.00
Math skills compared to others	2.93	1.05	2.74	1.02	2.83	1.03
Interest in math compared to others	2.97	0.87	2.92	0.89	2.90	0.92
Prepared for next level in math	3.26	1.18	2.94	1.21	2.89	1.20

Note. These ratings were on a scale from 1 to 5 so 3 would be an average rating.

Ratings of Student Skills

	Range	CHARTER (N=108)	IZONE (N=61)	MIDDLE (N=309)	OTHER (N=3)
Works to best of ability in math	1 to 5	3.48 (1.08)	3.46 (1.01)	3.45 (0.97)	3.67 (1.16)
Math skills compared to others	1 to 5	2.88 (1.09)	2.66 (0.98)	2.83 (1.03)	3.33 (0.58)
Interest in math compared to others	1 to 5	2.92 (0.88)	2.80 (0.85)	2.91 (0.95)	3.33 (0.58)
Prepared for next level in math	1 to 5	2.94 (1.29)	2.84 (1.11)	2.88 (1.18)	3.67 (1.53)
Concentrates well/not easily distracted	1 to 4	2.43 (0.98)	2.41 (0.86)	2.38 (0.95)	3.00 (1.00)
Easily plans and carries out activities that have several steps	1 to 4	2.44 (0.89)	2.36 (0.86)	2.51 (0.93)	3.00 (1.00)
Finishes tasks and activities	1 to 4	2.62 (0.95)	2.59 (0.78)	2.68 (0.89)	3.33 (0.58)
Actively uses resource for help and information	1 to 4	2.56 (0.87)	2.64 (0.78)	2.61 (0.87)	3.33 (0.58)

Note. Green cells indicate the highest overall rating for that item excluding the "Other" school type.

- Teachers at "Other" schools rated their students the highest. However, there were only 3 students in this category, with 1 student always receiving high ratings and two students receiving average or slightly below average ratings.
- Excluding the "Other" school type that had a low N, teachers at Charter schools were most likely to rate their students the highest, although Izone and Middle school teachers did rate their students the highest on at least one item.

Math Textbook Used (Perce	ntages of teachers)
---------------------------	---------------------

	CHARTER	IZONE	MIDDLE	OTHER
Glencoe Math Built to the Common				
Core	0.0	75.0	66.7	33.3
None	71.4	16.7	17.7	33.3
College Preparatory				
Mathematics/Core Connections	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Carnegie Learning	0.0	0.0	5.2	0.0
Glencoe Math ConnectEd	0.0	0.0	5.2	0.0
Other	0.0	8.3	5.2	33.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

How Much Textbook is Supplemented (Percentages of teachers)

	CHARTER	IZONE	MIDDLE	OTHER
Almost Never	4.8	0.0	3.1	0.0
A Little	4.8	16.7	12.5	33.3
Somewhat	9.5	25.0	32.3	33.3
A Lot	23.8	50.0	44.8	0.0
Not Applicable	57.1	8.3	7.3	33.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Correlations among 7th Grade Student Outcomes and Teacher Ratings

Zero-Order Correlations							
		TSSR:					
	TSSR:	Interest in	TSSR:	TSSR:			
	Math skills	math	Prepared for	Self-Reg			
	compared to	compared to	next level in	Items			
Direct Assessment	others	others	math	(Mean)			
KM Number (Age-Scaled)	.58	.34	.56	.37			
KM Algebra (Age-Scaled)	.57	.36	.57	.39			
KM Geometry (Age-Scaled)	.47	.30	.48	.35			
WJ Quant. Cpts. (Std Score)	.54	.35	.55	.35			
WJ Letter Word (Std Score)	.37	.20	.36	.24			
TIMSS Confidence Subscale	.42	.40	.46	.36			
TIMSS Value of Math Subscale	02	.06	.00	.03			
TIMSS Like Math Subscale	.22	.29	.24	.25			
TIMSS Total Score	.32	.35	.37	.31			
Number: Accuracy	.28	.23	.27	.26			
Number: Correct RT	12	13	14	12			
Color Dots: Accuracy	.11	.14	.13	.14			
Color Dots: Correct RT	.00	05	02	02			
Mapping: Accuracy	.33	.26	.32	.31			
Mapping: Correct RT	.05	.05	.02	.04			
HAF: Accuracy (Congruent)	.15	.14	.18	.17			
HAF: RT (Congruent)	08	10	11	13			
HAF: Accuracy (Incongruent)	.25	.21	.26	.25			
HAF: RT (Incongruent)	20	18	20	19			
HAF: Accuracy (Mixed)	.27	.19	.29	.24			
HAF: RT (Mixed)	.02	.02	.02	.06			

Note. Red cells indicate correlations greater than .20. Green cells indicate correlations less than -.20.

Outcomes by Pre-K Curriculum Condition

Student Outcomes i	n Yea	r 3 by Pre-	-K Curric	ulum		
		Building Blo	ocks		Control	
	N	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD
KM Number (age scaled)	304	7.85	2.96	190	8.16	2.89
KM Algebra (age scaled)	304	8.21	3.38	190	8.62	3.20
KM Geometry (age scaled)	304	7.71	2.21	190	7.70	2.22
WJ Quant. Concepts (standard score)	304	85.73	12.61	190	87.28	12.17
WJ Letter Word (standard score)	304	93.66	13.74	190	95.00	13.25
Number: Accuracy	309	0.92	0.06	194	0.92	0.07
Number: Correct RT	309	799.60	186.94	194	828.67	196.04
Color Dots: Accuracy	309	0.76	0.05	194	0.76	0.05
Color Dots: Correct RT	309	762.30	169.94	194	787.29	204.95
Mapping: Accuracy	309	0.69	0.08	193	0.69	0.08
Mapping: Correct RT	309	1230.07	261.95	193	1230.24	265.93
HAF: Accuracy (congruent)	309	0.98	0.05	194	0.98	0.05
HAF: RT (congruent)	309	350.32	59.22	194	357.73	58.93
HAF: Accuracy (incongruent)	309	0.95	0.09	193	0.91	0.17
HAF: RT (incongruent)	309	395.00	66.32	193	398.78	62.00
HAF: Accuracy (mixed)	309	0.77	0.13	193	0.76	0.16
HAF: RT (mixed)	309	524.51	62.84	193	516.91	66.28

Note. Key Math and WJ means were covariate-adjusted to account for differences between conditions at the beginning of Pre-K. 9 students were missing at least one covariate.

Student Ratings in Year 3 by Pre-K Curriculum											
	Bui	lding Blo	cks	Control							
	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD					
TIMSS: Confidence	309	3.09	0.61	194	3.05	0.64					
TIMSS: Value	309	3.52	0.41	194	3.53	0.43					
TIMSS: Liking	309	3.22	0.58	194	3.20	0.62					
TIMSS: Total	309	83.87	12.25	194	83.35	13.18					

Teacher Ratings in Year 3 by Pre-K Curriculum										
	Building Blocks									
	N Mean SD			Ν	Mean	SD				
Works to best of ability in math	293	3.49	1.02	188	3.41	0.96				
Math skills compared to others	293	2.85	1.02	188	2.78	1.06				
Interest in math compared to others	293	2.97	0.93	188	2.79	0.90				
Prepared for next level in math	293	2.95	1.14	188	2.81	1.27				
Concentrates well/not easily distracted	293	2.49	0.92	188	2.25	0.95				
Easily plans and carries out activities that have	293	2.53	0.89	188	2.40	0.95				
several steps										
Finishes tasks and activities	293	2.72	0.86	188	2.56	0.93				
Actively uses resources for help and information	293	2.68	0.84	188	2.49	0.88				

Outcomes by Pre-K System

Student Outcome	s in Ye	ear 3 by P	r <mark>e-K Sys</mark> t	em		
		Head Star	rt		MNPS Pre	-K
	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD
KM Number (age scaled)	201	7.79	2.82	293	8.09	2.94
KM Algebra (age scaled)	201	8.32	3.18	293	8.40	3.35
KM Geometry (age scaled)	201	7.62	2.18	293	7.76	2.19
WJ Quant. Concepts (standard score)	201	86.13	12.05	293	86.46	12.50
WJ Letter Word (standard score)	201	93.67	13.13	293	94.52	13.63
Number: Accuracy	206	0.92	0.06	297	0.92	0.06
Number: Correct RT	206	799.55	169.83	297	818.62	204.05
Color Dots: Accuracy	206	0.76	0.05	297	0.76	0.05
Color Dots: Correct RT	206	767.17	168.15	297	775.25	195.15
Mapping: Accuracy	205	0.69	0.08	297	0.69	0.08
Mapping: Correct RT	205	1232.94	262.49	297	1228.20	264.15
HAF: Accuracy (congruent)	206	0.98	0.04	297	0.98	0.05
HAF: RT (congruent)	206	355.88	62.90	297	351.31	56.45
HAF: Accuracy (incongruent)	205	0.94	0.13	297	0.93	0.12
HAF: RT (incongruent)	205	395.50	63.70	297	397.11	65.42
HAF: Accuracy (mixed)	205	0.77	0.14	297	0.76	0.14
HAF: RT (mixed)	205	514.22	62.20	297	526.67	65.21

Note. Key Math and WJ means were covariate-adjusted to account for differences between systems at the beginning of Pre-K. 9 students were missing at least one covariate.

Student Ratings in Year 3 by Pre-K System											
	H	lead Star	ť	MNPS Pre-K							
	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD					
TIMSS: Confidence	206	3.06	0.64	297	3.08	0.61					
TIMSS: Value	206	3.52	0.41	297	3.52	0.42					
TIMSS: Liking	206	3.19	0.64	297	3.22	0.57					
TIMSS: Total	206	83.32	13.06	297	83.92	12.30					

Teacher Ratings in Ye	Teacher Ratings in Year 3 by Pre-K System										
	H	lead Star	t	MNPS Pre-K							
	N Mean SD			Ν	Mean	SD					
Works to best of ability in math	192	3.47	1.02	289	3.45	0.99					
Math skills compared to others	192	2.84	0.96	289	2.81	1.08					
Interest in math compared to others	192	2.94	0.95	289	2.87	0.90					
Prepared for next level in math	192	2.88	1.15	289	2.90	1.23					
Concentrates well/not easily distracted	192	2.46	0.95	289	2.36	0.93					
Easily plans and carries out activities that have											
several steps	192	2.52	0.90	289	2.46	0.92					
Finishes tasks and activities	192	2.72	0.88	289	2.62	0.89					
Actively uses resources for help and information	192	2.65	0.86	289	2.57	0.86					

Low-Scoring Students

• Students were selected who were below a fifth-grade level this past year on all 3 Key Math subscales. This ended up being about 25% of the current sample.

	Descriptive Statistics												
	At	or Above 5	5th-grade l	evel on Ke	ey Math	Belo	w 5th-gra	de level or	n 3 Key Ma	th scales			
	Ν	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Ν	Min	Max	Mean	SD			
WJ Quant. Cpts. (Std Score)	374	47.00	118.00	90.54	9.57	129	42.00	93.00	74.07	10.97			
WJ Letter Word (Std Score)	374	56.00	127.00	97.44	11.15	129	46.00	107.00	84.67	13.06			
TIMSS (Total)	374	45.00	104.00	85.03	12.30	129	42.00	102.00	79.72	12.71			
Number: Accuracy	374	0.76	1.00	0.93	0.05	129	0.50	1.00	0.88	0.08			
Number: Correct RT	374	522.70	1701.88	800.79	193.96	129	556.90	1681.35	839.88	179.04			
Color Dots: Accuracy	374	0.54	0.91	0.76	0.05	129	0.56	0.86	0.75	0.05			
Color Dots: Correct RT	374	490.96	1767.65	772.66	192.08	129	506.32	1703.41	769.85	160.91			
Mapping: Accuracy	374	0.48	0.88	0.70	0.07	128	0.46	0.82	0.65	0.08			
Mapping: Correct RT	374	630.42	2516.29	1238.12	267.36	128	654.70	2371.83	1206.82	250.30			
HAF: Accuracy (Congruent)	374	0.75	1.00	0.98	0.04	129	0.75	1.00	0.96	0.06			
HAF: RT (Congruent)	374	230.75	603.42	349.78	55.57	129	263.75	689.08	363.05	67.78			
HAF: Accuracy (Incong.)	374	0.08	1.00	0.95	0.09	128	0.00	1.00	0.87	0.19			
HAF: RT (Incongruent)	374	266.42	617.83	387.67	59.77	128	296.50	621.25	422.11	71.49			
HAF: Accuracy (Mixed)	374	0.42	1.00	0.79	0.13	128	0.38	0.96	0.67	0.15			
HAF: RT (Mixed)	374	332.83	708.85	523.42	59.07	128	328.42	716.98	516.24	77.38			

Characteristics of Low-Scoring Students

	Low-S	coring	Not Low	-Scoring	
	Freq	Pct	Freq	Pct	
Ethnicity	•		•		
Black	109	84.5	287	76.7	
White	11	8.5	33	8.8	
Hispanic	7	5.4	34	9.1	
Other	2	1.6	19	5.1	
Gender					
Male	53	41.1	166	44.4	
Female	76	58.9	208	55.6	
ELL in Pre-K Year					
ELL	6	4.7	39	10.4	
Not ELL	123	95.3	334	89.3	
Pre-K Curriculum Condition					
Building Blocks	75	58.1	234	62.6	
Control	54	41.9	140	37.4	
Pre-K School System					
Head Start	55	42.6	151	40.4	
MNPS Pre-K	74	57.4	223	59.6	
Year 1 School Type					
Charter	21	16.3	84	22.5	
Izone	25	19.4	53	14.2	
Middle	48	37.2	203	54.3	
Other	0	0.0	1	0.3	
Elementary	35	27.1	33	8.8	
Year 2 School Type					
Charter	26	20.2	95	25.4	
Izone	22	17.1	51	13.6	
Middle	80	62.0	225	60.2	
Other	1	0.8	3	0.8	
Year 3 School Type					
Charter	22	17.1	91	24.3	
Izone	22	17.1	42	11.2	
Middle	85	65.9	238	63.6	
Other	0	0.0	3	0.8	

Comparing Lowest Groups throughout Years 1 to 3

• Each year the low group of students was defined as scoring 2 years or more behind their grade level on all 3 Key Math measures.

Pattern of Grouping	Frequency	Percent
Never in low group	337	67.0
In low group Year 1 only	5	1.0
In low group Year 2 only	25	5.0
In low group Year 3 only	46	9.1
In low group Years 1 and 2	5	1.0
In low group Years 2 and 3	37	7.4
In low group Years 1 and 3	3	0.6
In low group Years 1, 2, and 3	43	8.5
Missing Year 1, in low group Year 2, not in low group		
Year 3	1	0.2
Missing Year 1, not in low group any other years	1	0.2
Total	503	100.0

KM Grade Equivalence	Year 1			Year 2			Year 3		
	Num	Alg	Geo	Num	Alg	Geo	Num	Alg	Geo
Never in low group	5.03	5.10	4.59	6.01	6.23	5.71	6.63	6.98	6.12
In low group Year 1 only	2.00	1.94	1.10	2.94	3.66	4.70	3.00	3.40	5.80
In low group Year 2 only	3.16	3.55	3.46	3.16	3.51	2.86	3.88	4.56	4.24
In low group Year 3 only	3.10	3.41	3.12	3.71	3.92	3.85	3.11	3.35	3.13
In low group Years 1 and 2	1.88	1.96	1.32	2.70	2.90	2.68	3.00	4.40	5.00
In low group Years 2 and 3	2.52	2.93	2.82	2.75	3.00	2.66	2.70	2.62	2.70
In low group Years 1 and 3	2.40	1.97	2.03	3.60	3.70	4.07	2.67	3.33	3.33
In low group Years 1, 2, and 3	1.67	1.66	1.46	2.13	2.25	2.17	1.93	2.07	2.09
Missing Year 1, in low group				2.50	3.80	2.20	2.00	4.00	5.00
Year 2, not in low group Year									
3									
Missing Year 1, not in low				5.50	5.80	5.20	6.00	5.00	7.00
group any other years									

Note. Of the 43 children in the low group in Years 1, 2, and 3, 28 had an IEP in Year 1 and 32 had an IEP in Year 2. The most common IEPs were for Specific Learning Disability, Functional Delay, and Other Health Impairments.

High-Scoring Students

• Students were selected who were above a seventh-grade level this past year on all 3 Key Math subscales. This ended up being about 9% of the current sample.

Descriptive Statistics												
	A	t or Below	7th-grade l	evel on Key	v Math		Above 7th	n-grade leve	el on Key M	lath		
	Ν	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Ν	Min	Max	Mean	SD		
WJ Quant. Cpts. (Std Score)	455	42.00	112.00	84.83	11.65	48	78.00	118.00	100.44	8.52		
WJ Letter Word (Std Score)	455	46.00	123.00	92.91	12.47	48	75.00	127.00	106.08	11.07		
TIMSS (Total)	455	42.00	104.00	83.04	12.53	48	62.00	103.00	89.69	11.83		
Number: Accuracy	455	0.50	1.00	0.92	0.06	48	0.81	1.00	0.94	0.04		
Number: Correct RT	455	522.70	1701.88	817.03	193.07	48	550.92	1497.53	751.90	157.99		
Color Dots: Accuracy	455	0.54	0.91	0.76	0.05	48	0.64	0.89	0.78	0.05		
Color Dots: Correct RT	455	490.96	1767.65	774.52	185.19	48	507.22	1634.37	747.47	177.07		
Mapping: Accuracy	454	0.46	0.87	0.68	0.08	48	0.50	0.88	0.74	0.08		
Mapping: Correct RT	454	654.70	2493.95	1229.66	257.16	48	630.42	2516.29	1234.61	318.06		
HAF: Accuracy (Congruent)	455	0.75	1.00	0.98	0.05	48	0.83	1.00	0.99	0.04		
HAF: RT (Congruent)	455	230.75	689.08	354.93	60.47	48	261.42	438.83	336.57	41.77		
HAF: Accuracy (Incong.)	454	0.00	1.00	0.93	0.13	48	0.67	1.00	0.97	0.06		
HAF: RT (Incongruent)	454	266.42	621.25	399.37	65.45	48	299.50	505.83	368.88	49.22		
HAF: Accuracy (Mixed)	454	0.38	1.00	0.76	0.15	48	0.50	0.98	0.84	0.10		
HAF: RT (Mixed)	454	328.42	716.98	522.39	64.09	48	332.83	708.85	513.98	65.75		

Characteristics of High-Scoring Students

	High-S	coring	Not High	n-Scoring	
	Freq	Pct	Freq	Pct	
Ethnicity	•		•		
Black	31	64.6	365	80.2	
White	7	14.6	37	8.1	
Hispanic	5	10.4	36	7.9	
Other	5	10.4	16	3.5	
Gender					
Male	22	45.8	197	43.3	
Female	26	54.2	258	56.7	
ELL in Pre-K Year					
ELL	7	14.6	38	8.4	
Not ELL	41	85.4	416	91.6	
Pre-K Curriculum Condition					
Building Blocks	26	54.2	283	62.2	
Control	22	45.8	172	37.8	
Pre-K School System					
Head Start	15	31.3	191	42.0	
MNPS Pre-K	33	68.8	264	58.0	
Year 1 School Type					
Charter	17	35.4	88	19.3	
Izone	3	6.3	75	16.5	
Middle	25	52.1	226	49.7	
Other	0	0.0	1	0.2	
Elementary	3	6.3	65	14.3	
Year 2 School Type					
Charter	15	31.3	106	23.3	
Izone	2	4.2	71	15.6	
Middle	30	62.5	275	60.4	
Other	1	2.1	3	0.7	
Year 3 School Type					
Charter	15	31.3	98	21.5	
Izone	0	0.0	64	14.1	
Middle	31	64.6	292	64.2	
Other	2	4.2	1	0.2	

Early Correlates of Later Skills

					Zero-Or	der Corr	elations	All Stud	lents							
	Fall PK QC	Spring PK QC	Spring K QC	Spring G1 QC	Fall PK AP	Spring PK AP	Spring K AP	Spring G1 AP	Fall PK REMA NUM	Spring PK REMA NUM	Spring K REMA NUM	Spring G1 REMA NUM	Fall PK REMA GEO	Spring PK REMA GEO	Sprin g K REMA GEO	Spring G1 REMA GEO
KM Number (Age-Scaled)	.45	.55	.55	.56	.38	.52	.56	.62	.37	.53	.60	.61	.29	.45	.41	.43
KM Algebra (Age-Scaled)	.44	.52	.53	.54	.33	.46	.52	.59	.36	.48	.55	.59	.28	.41	.38	.40
KM Geometry (Age-Scaled)	.41	.47	.47	.45	.36	.46	.48	.53	.40	.44	.52	.53	.33	.44	.44	.44
WJ Quant. Cpts. (Std Score)	.47	.55	.60	.58	.38	.53	.56	.58	.37	.47	.57	.62	.28	.42	.38	.35
WJ Letter Word (Std Score)	.41	.47	.51	.50	.35	.45	.43	.50	.26	.35	.44	.51	.26	.34	.29	.32
TIMSS (Total)	.06	.03	.09	.15	.01	.04	.19	.17	.08	.10	.17	.18	.06	.06	.08	.08
Number: Accuracy	.21	.27	.26	.30	.20	.24	.26	.31	.23	.27	.33	.38	.15	.24	.20	.17
Number: Correct RT	08	05	09	09	03	12	14	14	10	14	08	18	03	07	11	.01
Color Dots: Accuracy	.07	.09	.12	.16	.02	.06	.07	.18	.04	.13	.10	.13	.11	.17	.04	.11
Color Dots: Correct RT	01	01	01	02	01	08	08	06	06	07	02	09	01	05	03	.01
Mapping: Accuracy	.29	.30	.26	.33	.19	.25	.29	.37	.27	.28	.34	.39	.25	.31	.19	.22
Mapping: Correct RT	.04	.04	.02	.06	.03	.04	01	.03	.01	03	.01	01	.03	01	03	.04
HAF: Accuracy (Congruent)	.11	.11	.09	.12	.09	.14	.11	.12	.12	.14	.13	.13	.10	.12	.06	.11
HAF: RT (Congruent)	07	14	09	08	.01	05	08	14	07	09	11	17	04	12	11	08
HAF: Accuracy (Incong.)	.26	.30	.28	.27	.25	.30	.28	.28	.18	.26	.29	.31	.15	.17	.24	.14
HAF: RT (Incongruent)	19	19	21	20	12	17	23	24	23	20	22	29	15	23	18	11
HAF: Accuracy (Mixed)	.28	.32	.33	.30	.24	.24	.32	.32	.26	.27	.36	.37	.22	.20	.24	.20
HAF: RT (Mixed)	01	.05	.05	.07	.04	.03	.04	.02	.00	.00	.03	02	.00	.00	.01	.02

Note. Red cells indicate correlations > .20. Green cells indicate correlations < -.20.