When taking students off-campus for academic work or study, common sense and thoughtful planning can mitigate the potential for mishap. This checklist suggests which kind of review / approval process might be appropriate. Most situations will be resolved at the instructor or departmental level.

PART ONE: PROPOSAL	
Instructor:	
Instructor:Course:	Number of students involved
Date(s) and times:	
Instructor email:	Phone number(s):
Proposal:	
<ul> <li>what the activit</li> <li>date/time/locat</li> <li>intended mode</li> <li>involvement wire</li> <li>involved,</li> <li>plans for orient</li> <li>contact si</li> </ul>	planned trip should contain basic information:  y is (and a demonstration of the educational value of the trip)  ion; faculty sponsor; what student will do when on site  (s) of travel; contingency plan; site contacts; any triggers or concerns  th population – and its parameters (e.g. watching is okay, interviewing more  request to participate needs the most scrutiny)  ation and for debriefing (as appropriate; any situation involving high-stress  hould have a solid debriefing plan)  med travel should be disclosed on the course syllabus.
• •	d at the department level (or at Associate Dean level in Blair and e following triggers; please check any triggers that apply:
travel between the horter travel without faculty process solo travel (requires many class activity that interest and individuals inverties that all individuals inverties independent student recommendation travel to sites with haz	ore contingency planning than group travel) nvolves directly working with subjects (people in the field) nvolves working with minors; faculty members are responsible for verifying olved comply with the campus policy on the protection of minors, _edu/riskmanagement/Policy_FINAL%20-%20risk%20management%20v2.pdf esearch for which a professor recommends a review ardous materials risk, including but not limited to:
	essing populations under stress including the homeless, the incarcerated, or ally at-risk (also reviewed at Associate Dean level unless a departmental

process for site review is approved in advance)

volatile experiences that elevate the student's risk of arrest (e.g. protest sites and demonstrations)

## (also reviewed at the Associate Dean level)

 uests that should be reviewed by the school Associate Deans and possibly elevated to the Off-Campus essment Committee include any of the following; please check any triggers that apply:
any trip involving two or more triggers from the list above.
volatile experiences that elevate the student's risk of arrest (e.g. protest sites and demonstrations)
any trip that involves a national or local disaster zone, a warning from a governmental health
organization, or a Homeland Security warning.
any trip that involves sustained contact with persons that we know have been convicted of
felonies and that entails a reasonable expectation of elevated risk, unless a departmental screening process has been approved by the dean.
any trip to a physically remote site or a site more than 60 miles from the nearest hospital.
any trip that requires students to participate actively in a protest or similar collective action,
especially if we anticipate a police presence

## PART THREE: PRIOR TO DEPARTURE

Contact information for all individuals on the trip and a copy of the approved travel proposal (and contingency plan) should be left with:

- the sponsoring faculty member's Department Chair or Director of Undergraduate Studies, and
- the department's Administrative Assistant, and
- the Associate Dean's office.

For longer trips, multiple means of contact and emergency contact information (frequently a parent email/phone number) should be included.