Name:

Biostatistics 1st year Comprehensive Examination: Theory

May 29th, 2018: 9am to 5pm

Instructions:

- 1. There are six questions and 6 pages (not including the cover sheet).
- 2. Answer each question to the best of your ability. Be as specific as possible and write as clearly as possible.
- 3. Put your name and problem number on every sheet of paper; **only use one side** of the paper (the exams will be scanned electronically).
- 4. This is an in-class examination; do not discuss any part of this exam with anyone while you are taking the exam. **NO BOOKS, NO NOTES, NO FRIENDS, NO PETS, NO INTERNET DEVICES, and NO OUTSIDE ASSISTANCE.**
- 5. You may leave the examination room to use the restroom or to step out into the hallway for a short break. HOWEVER, YOU MUST LEAVE YOUR CELL PHONE AND ALL EXAM MATERIALS IN THE EXAMINATION ROOM. If there is an emergency, please discuss this with the exam proctor.
- 6. Vanderbilt's academic honor code applies; *adhere to the spirit of this code*.

Question	Points	Score	Comments
1	50		
2	50		
3	50		
4	50		
5	50		
6	50		
Total	300		

1. Let *X* and *Y* be random variables from the joint distribution given by pdf

 $f(x, y) = 15x^2y$ for 0 < x < y < 1

- a. Find the marginal distribution of *Y*. Be sure to show your answer is indeed a valid pdf.
- b. Find E[Y] and Var[Y].
- c. Find the median of *Y*.
- d. Describe an algorithm for generating $Y_1, ..., Y_{100} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(Y)$ from 100 uniform random variables $U_1, ..., U_{100} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} U(0,1)$.
- e. Find the pdf of $Z = Y^2$?
- f. Find the conditional distribution of *X* given *Y*.
- g. Are *X* and *Y* independent random variables? Justify your answer.
- h. What is Cov(2X, Y)?
- i. What is P(X + Y < 1)?

2. Let $X_1, ..., X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(X; \theta)$ where f has a $Beta(\theta, 1)$ distribution

$$f(X; \theta) = \theta X^{\theta - 1}$$
 for $0 < X < 1$ and $\theta > 0$

with
$$E[X] = \frac{\theta}{\theta+1}$$
, $E[X^2] = \frac{\theta}{(\theta+1)^2(\theta+2)}$, $E[\log X_i] = \frac{1}{\theta}$, $E[(\log X_i)^2] = \frac{2}{\theta^2}$

- a. Find the minimal sufficient statistic for θ .
- b. Find the Maximum Likelihood Estimator of $1/\theta$.
- c. Find the Cramer-Rao Lower Bound for an unbiased estimator of $1/\theta$.
- d. Find the minimum variance unbiased estimator of $1/\theta$.
- e. Under model failure, what quantity is the MLE consistent for (i.e., what is the object of inference)?
- f. Find the robust variance estimator for the MLE under model failure.
- g. Consider the estimator $\hat{\phi} = (\sqrt{n\pi} \sum_i \log x_i)/n$. Is $\hat{\phi}$ is a consistent estimator of $1/\theta$? If yes, prove it. If not, explain why.

3. Let $Y|X, \beta, \sigma^2 \sim N(\beta X, \sigma^2)$. Assume $\beta|\sigma^2 \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, and $\sigma^2 > 0$ has an inversegamma distribution IV(a, b), where

$$f(\sigma^2) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} (\sigma^2)^{-a-1} e^{-\frac{b}{\sigma^2}}$$

where a, b > 0 and $\Gamma(w) = (w - 1)!$ These prior distributions are conjugate.

- a. Assume a > 1. Find $E[\sigma^2]$.
- b. Find E[Y|X].
- c. Find Var[Y|X].
- d. Show the posterior distribution, $f(\beta, \sigma^2 | Y = y, X = x)$, is proportional to

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left(\beta-\frac{xy+\mu}{x^2+1}\right)^2\left(x^2+1\right)}\left(\sigma^2\right)^{a-\frac{1}{2}-1}e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left(2b+\mu^2+y^2-\frac{(xy+\mu)^2}{x^2+1}\right)}$$

e. What is the posterior mean of β ?

The model described above will be considered our first model, M_1 , but we are also considering a second model, M_2 , where $Y|X, \gamma, \sigma^2 \sim N(\gamma, \sigma^2)$ and $\gamma|\sigma^2 \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, and $\sigma^2 > 0$ follows and inverse-gamma distribution IV(a, b). Models M_1 and M_2 are equally likely a priori.

f. Find the Bayes factor comparing models M_1 and M_2 and provide an interpretation for it. You do not need to reduce this expression.

4. Let $Y_1, ..., Y_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Exp(\phi_y)$ and $X_1, ..., X_m \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Exp(\phi_x)$ be two independent samples where the exponential distributions are parameterized as

$$f(y;\phi_y) = \frac{1}{\phi_y} e^{-y/\phi_y}$$

for $\phi_{y} > 0$, y > 0 so that $E[Y_i] = \phi_{y}$ and $Var[Y_i] = \phi_{y}^2$.

- a. What is the generalized likelihood ratio test statistic for testing $H_0: \phi_y = \phi_x$ vs. the alternative hypothesis $H_1: \phi_y \neq \phi_x$? Denote this statistic by $\Lambda_{n,m}$.
- b. What is the large-sample distribution of $\Lambda_{n,m}$?
- c. Propose an alternative test of H_0 : $\phi_y = \phi_x$. Be sure to provide enough details (test statistic, sampling distribution under the null, type of test, etc.) to establish the test as a valid test.

Now suppose the null hypothesis is $H_0: \phi_y = \phi_x$ and ϕ_y , $\phi_x < c_0$ while the alternative hypothesis is $H_1: \phi_y \neq \phi_x$ and ϕ_y , $\phi_x > c_1$ for constants $c_1 > c_0$.

- d. Define the generalized likelihood ratio test statistic that would be used in this case, denote it by $\Lambda_{n,m}^*$, and make its form as simple as possible. Hint: Use a picture to illustrate the situation and motivate the analytical expression.
- e. What is the large-sample distribution of $\Lambda_{n,m}^*$? Either justify an analytical solution or provide a numerical algorithm that would lead to this distribution. Be specific.

5. Let $X_1, ..., X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(X_i; \theta)$ where *f* is a shifted exponential distribution

$$F(X_i;\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-(X_i - \theta)} & X_i \ge \theta \\ 0 & X_i < \theta \end{cases}$$

Consider the two hypotheses: $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ vs. $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$ where $\theta_1 > \theta_0$. Denote the smallest observation by $X_{(1)} = \min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ and the data vector by $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

- a. Find the likelihood function for θ , call it $L(\theta | \underline{X})$.
- b. Show that $P(X_{(1)} > c) = e^{-n(c-\theta)}$ for $c > \theta$. [Hint: $X_{(1)} > c \Longrightarrow X_i > c \forall i$].
- c. Consider the rejection region $\{\underline{X}: X_{(1)} > c\}$ and find c that gives a one-sided α -level test of H_0 .
- d. What is the *p*-value for the set of observations $z_1, ..., z_k$?
- e. Find the power function for the test specified in part (c).
- f. What is the set of null hypotheses that do not reject from the test in part (c)?
- g. Use the Karlin-Rubin theorem to show that the test from part (c) is a most powerful test of size α .
- h. Use the Neyman-Pearson Lemma to find a test that is different from (c) but also a most powerful test of size α .

- 6. *Causal Inference.* Let Y_z denote the potential outcome of Y if a subject is assigned treatment Z = z, where z = 0 or 1. Y_z is a random variable. Let X denote a covariate. An individual's 'causal effect' is said to be $ICE = Y_1 Y_0$. But without strong assumptions it is impossible to identify ICE because no subject can be assigned to both Z = 1 or Z = 0 simultaneously. So researchers focus on the average causal effect, $ACE = E[Y_1 Y_0]$, which can be identified under weaker assumptions. Two assumptions that identify ACE are
 - (i) "Consistency": $Y_z = Y$ if Z = z. For example, $f(Y_0|Z = 0) \equiv f(Y|Z = 0)$
 - (ii) "Ignorable treatment assignment (i.e., randomization)": $(Y_1, Y_0) \perp Z$
 - a. Prove that under assumptions (i) and (ii), ACE = E[Y|Z = 1] E[Y|Z = 0]
 - b. Suppose that 100 subjects are randomized to treatment (Z = 1) and 100 subjects are randomized to control (Z = 0). Use the result from part (a) to provide an unbiased estimator of *ACE* and find its variance.
 - c. Provide and justify an approximate 95% confidence interval for *ACE*.

When using observational data, assumption (ii) often does not hold. A weaker assumption that might be reasonable is the following:

- (iii) "Conditional ignorable treatment assignment (i.e., no unmeasured confounding)": $\{(Y_1, Y_0) \perp Z\}|X$.
- d. The propensity score is defined as $e(x) \equiv P(Z = 1|X = x) = E[Z|X = x]$. Prove that

$$ACE = E\left[\frac{YZ}{e(X)}\right] - E\left[\frac{Y(1-Z)}{1-e(X)}\right]$$

assuming that (i) and (iii) hold and that $0 < e(x) < 1 \quad \forall x$ (this constraint on the propensity score is called 'positivity'). This is called an inverse probability of treatment weighting scheme. Hint: Make use of iterative expectations.